## 2.7 A 0.003mm<sup>2</sup> 1.7-to-3.5GHz Dual-Mode Time-Interleaved Ring-VCO Achieving 90-to-150kHz 1/f<sup>3</sup> Phase-Noise Corner

Jun Yin<sup>1</sup>, Pui-In Mak<sup>1</sup>, Franco Maloberti<sup>2</sup>, Rui P. Martins<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Macau, Macau, China, <sup>2</sup>University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy, <sup>3</sup>Instituto Superior Tecnico, Lisbon, Portugal

Ring-VCOs (RVCOs) [1] have been avoided for over a decade for highperformance RF systems due to their much lower FOM (<165dB [2]) than that of their LC counterparts from low to high frequency offsets. Yet, as the cost of ultra-scaled CMOS technologies is escalating, the small-die-area and widetuning-range advantages of RVCOs have attracted more attention recently, aiming to break the FOM limit at the system level. In [2], a type-I PLL succeeds in suppressing the RVCO phase noise (PN) by extending the loop bandwidth to 10MHz ( $f_{ref}/20 \rightarrow f_{ref}/2$ ), facilitating an ultra-compact (0.015mm<sup>2</sup>) frequency synthesizer for 2.4GHz WLAN. However, the type-I PLL only offers 20dB/dec phase-noise suppression for its RVCO. Thus, despite using large transistors  $(36/0.28\mu m)$ , the  $1/f^3$  PN corner  $(f_{1/f^3})$  is still high (~4MHz), degrading the overall jitter performance of the PLL. This paper proposes a dual-mode time-interleaved RVCO (TI-RVCO). It offers interesting properties of extending the frequency tuning range and reducing  $f_{1/f^{s}}$  corner (~1MHz  $\rightarrow$  ~100kHz), resulting in a better FOM over a wide range of frequency offsets (10kHz to 1MHz). The achieved  $f_{1/f^{\circ}}$ noise corner (~90kHz to 150kHz) is comparable to the state-of-the-art LC-VCOs [3, 4].

One effective way to suppress the  $1/f^{s}$  noise is to avoid the 1/f noise upconversion, which can be predicted by the impulse sensitivity function (ISF) [1]. Since 1/f noises generated by NMOST and PMOST are uncorrelated, their induced PN power spectral densities is added (Fig. 2.7.1a). Assuming a triangular-shape ISF ( $|\Gamma| = |\Gamma_{nmos}| = |\Gamma_{pmos}|$ ) and the same 1/f noise corner ( $f_{1/f}$ ) for both NMOST and PMOST, the  $f_{1/f^{p}}$  of a multi-stage RVCO can be revised from [1] as

$$f_{1/f^3} = f_{1/f} \frac{3}{4\eta} \times \frac{1}{\text{No. of Stage}}$$

where  $\eta$  is a proportionality constant between each stage delay  $\tau$  and the maximum slope  $k_{max}$  of the normalized RVCO output. Thus, given the transistor sizes and supply voltage (V\_DD), increasing the number of stage helps reducing  $f_{1/f^c}$  corner, but at the expense of a lower output frequency.

The proposed TI-RVCO (Fig. 2.7.1b) extends the typical N-stage RVCO to NxM stages (M: the time-interleaved factor), such that all delay stages are relaxed in terms of operating frequency, i.e.  $f_o/M$ . A high-frequency output  $f_o$  is upheld by properly recombining the internal multi-phase outputs via a time-windowed phase combiner (TWPC). As long as the TWPC adds no noise, the RMS jitter of the combined output signal remains the same as that of a typical NxM-stage RVCO, while the output period is reduced by a factor M. Thus, the phase-noise profile of an NxM-stage TI-RVCO is an M-time-upshifted version of a typical NxM-stage RVCO (Fig. 2.7.1c), while keeping  $f_{1/f^{c}}$  unchanged. As a result,  $f_{1/f^{c}}$  would be reduced by M times when compared with a typical N-stage RVCO directly operating at  $f_o$ . The NxM-stage TI-RVCO can achieve the same  $1/f^{2}$  noise at large frequency offsets as the N-stage RVCO, since its  $1/f^{2}$  noise  $\propto T_{rms}^{2}$  and thus  $\propto 1/(NxM)^{2}$ .

Enlarging the M factor can further reduce  $f_{1/f^{s_1}}$  but this implies extra phases for combining, which exacerbates both delay mismatches in the TWPC and difficulty of the layout. Also, a large M factor leads to closer output spurs in the vicinity of  $f_0$ , i.e.  $\pm h f_0/M$  where h=1,2,3... As the typical  $f_{1/f^s}$  of a RVCO, in advanced CMOS technologies, is around several MHz, a practical value of M can be 7, which is adequate to reduce  $f_{1/f^s}$  to several hundreds of kHz without compromising much on the spur level, power consumption and die area.

The desired phase combiner should be power efficient and should add minimum delay offset and mismatch between the different phases, which otherwise cause deterministic jitter and/or output spurs. The conventional phase combiner used in DLL-based clock multipliers [5] (Fig. 2.7.2a) suffers from a severe delay offset due to the asymmetrical inputs of the OR gate. Here, the proposed TWPC (Fig. 2.7.2b) eliminates fully the delay offset, as each selected phase can pass only

through the transmission gate within the time window  $T_{win}$ . To ensure all time windows  $(S_{5,10.15})$  are non-overlapping,  $T_{win}$  is upper-bounded by  $(N-2)T_{o}/(2N)$  with  $N\geq 5$ . Thus, logic gates in the phase selector can be minimally sized to save power since  $T_{win}$  is long enough to tolerate reasonable PVT variations and mismatches. From Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations at  $f_{o}=3.5 \mathrm{GHz}$  (N=5, M=3), the mean and standard deviation,  $\sigma$ , of  $T_{win}$  are 87ps and 1ps, respectively. The tolerable time difference ( $T_{p},$  Fig. 2.7.2b) is 29.7ps ( $\sigma=0.78ps$ ), ensuring the rise/fall edge of each phase is captured within  $T_{win}$ . Comparatively, the phase combiner in Fig. 2.7.2a has a delay offset of +4/-6.4ps and a delay mismatch of  $\sigma=0.39ps$ , while the proposed circuit reduces the delay mismatch by 3.25× to  $\sigma=0.12ps$  and achieves a negligible delay offset (+10/-8fs) under a 0.1mW power budget.

To extend the tuning range, the TI-RVCO (Fig. 2.7.3) features a reconfigurable factor M. The selection of M depends on the original tuning range (TR<sub>o</sub>) of the NxM-stage RVCO. If M is selectable as M<sub>1</sub> or M<sub>2</sub> (M<sub>2</sub>>M<sub>1</sub>), TR<sub>o</sub> should be larger than 2(M<sub>2</sub>-M<sub>1</sub>)/(M<sub>2</sub>+M<sub>1</sub>) to allow a certain overlap between the 2 output bands. Under M=5 or 7, the output tuning range at f<sub>o</sub> can be roughly doubled (~70%) relative to TR<sub>o</sub> (38%). Besides, M≥5 is adequate to reduce the f<sub>1/f<sup>a</sup></sub> corner from 1MHz to ≤200kHz. Such a dual-mode operation widens the tuning range using a small V<sub>DD</sub> range. A very low V<sub>DD</sub> is unfavorable as it significantly degrades the PN due to limited current and voltage swings. Dominated by the device mismatch in the delay stages, the output period at 3.5GHz shows a mismatch of  $\sigma$ =0.8ps (0.3% of T<sub>out</sub>) in schematic-level MC simulations, resulting in output spurs <-50dBc.

Three prototypes were fabricated in 65nm CMOS (Fig. 2.7.7) for comparison: a 35-stage dual-mode TI-RVCO, a 15-stage TI-RVCO and a typical 5-stage RVCO. All delay stages have the same CMOS inverters (PMOST: 14/0.18µm, NMOST: 7/0.18 $\mu$ m). The f<sub>1/f<sup>3</sup></sub> corner of the dual-mode TI-RVCO is reduced by 6.2× (930kHz-150kHz) when compared with that of a typical RVCO (Fig. 2.7.4), which follows closely the prediction of 7× by the ISF theory. At ~3.3GHz carrier, f<sub>1/f</sub> corner is 150kHz for the TI-RVCO, which is comparable to the state-of-theart LC-VCOs [3] (120 to 240kHz) and [4] (60 to 100kHz) that already feature 1/f<sup>3</sup>-noise-reduction techniques. The output spurs within the frequency offset  $f_0 \pm 2f_0/7$  are <-43.1dBc at V\_DD=1V, which are mainly limited by the deterministic mismatch in the 2D layout to balance the dual modes (Fig. 2.7.7), and can likely be resolved by delay compensation in TWPC. The PN and FOM between the dualmode TI-RVCO and typical RVCO are compared in Figs. 2.7.5a and b. The former achieves better results from 10kHz to 1MHz offsets, and shows a 68.5% tuning range using only 30% of  $V_{\mbox{\tiny DD}}$  downscaling (1 to 0.7V) with an adequate overlap (130MHz) between the two modes. The power efficiency is ~0.5mW/GHz at 3GHz (Fig. 2.7.5c), which is ~1.5× of the 5-stage RVCO.

Benchmarking with a typical 5-stage RVC0 (Fig. 2.7.6), the dual-mode TI-RVC0 reduces the  $f_{1/f^3}$  by 6.2×, which results in an improvement of FoM@10kHz/100kHz/1MHz by 6.8/4.5/0.7dB, respectively. Likewise, when contrasted with the RVC0 + N-path filter technique [6], our TI-RVC0 achieves >40× lower  $f_{1/f^3}$ , 10dB better FoM@100kHz offset and 5× smaller die area. The TI-RVC0, inherently offering a div-by-M output and can benefit the type-I PLL [2] in terms of power and PN over a wide range of frequency offsets, resulting in a better overall jitter performance.

## Acknowledgements:

The authors thank Macao FDCT and MYRG-2015-00097 for financial support.

References:

[1] A. Hajimiri et al., "Jitter and Phase Noise in Ring Oscillators," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 790-804, June 1999.

[2] L. Kong and B. Razavi, "A 2.4GHz 4mW Inductorless RF Synthesizer," *ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, pp. 450-451, Feb. 2015.

[3] D. Murphy et al., "A VCO with Implicit Common-Mode Resonance," *ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, pp. 442-443, Feb. 2015.

[4] M. Shahmohammadi et al., "A 1/f Noise Upconversion Reduction Technique Applied to Class-D and Class-F Oscillators," *ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, pp. 444-445, Feb. 2015.

[5] D. Foley and M. P. Flynn, "CMOS DLL-Based 2-V 3.2-ps Jitter 1-GHz Clock Synthesizer and Temperature-Compensated Tunable Oscillator," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits,* vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 417-423, Mar. 2001.

[6] C. Zhai et al., "An N-path Filter Enhanced Low Phase Noise Ring VCO," *IEEE Symp. VLSI Circuits*, pp. 234-235, June 2014.



Figure 2.7.1: a) Predicted by the ISF, extending the number of delay stages in a RVCO can reduce the 1/f<sup>3</sup> PN; b) TI-RVCO to achieve a smaller 1/f<sup>3</sup> PN corner, as shown in c), while keeping a high  $f_o$ .



Figure 2.7.3: Proposed 35-stage dual-mode TI-RVCO. By properly combining the different output phases for high-band ( $\times$ 7) and low-band ( $\times$ 5) modes, the frequency tuning range can be extended.



Figure 2.7.5: Measured a) PN; b) FOM; c) power consumption of the typical 5-stage RVCO and the proposed 35-stage dual-mode TI-RVCO in low- and high-band modes.



Figure 2.7.2: a) Typical logic-phase combiner [5] suffers from large delay offset and mismatch in the OR gate. b) Proposed TWPC nullifies the delay offset, and has  $3.25 \times$  lower delay mismatch.



Figure 2.7.4: Measured a) PN of a typical RVCO and the proposed 35-stage dual-mode TI-RVCO; b) Mismatch-induced output spurs of the 35-stage dual-mode TI-RVCO.

	3 Prototypes Fabricated in This Work				VLSI'14[6]
Technique	35-Stage Dual-Mode TI-RVCO		15-Stage TI-RVCO	Typical 5-Stage RVCO	RVCO + N-Path Filter
Frequency Range (GHz)	1.7 to 3.47 (68.5%)		2.35 to 3.41 (36.8%)	2.53 to 3.75 (38.9%)	0.3 to 1.2 (120%)
Carrier (GHz) @V <sub>DD</sub>	1.7 @ 0.7V	3.47 @ 1V	3.41 @ 1V	3.75@1V	1.0 @ 1.2V
1/f <sup>3</sup> Noise Corner (kHz)	90	150	340	930	6000 *
Power (mW)	0.65	2.51	2.25	1.99	4.7
PN @ 10kHz (dBc/Hz)	-49.7	-46.3	-43.7	-37.8	N/A
PN @ 100kHz (dBc/Hz)	-77.6	-74.9	-73.6	-68.7	-80*
PN @ 1MHz (dBc/Hz)	-100.4	-98.7	-98.9	-96.3	-110
FOM @ 10kHz (dBc/Hz)	156.2	153.1	150.8	146.3	N/A
FOM @ 100kHz (dBc/Hz)	164.1	161.7	160.7	157.2	153.3 *
FOM @ 1MHz (dBc/Hz)	166.9	165.6	166.0	164.8	163.3
Core Area (mm²)	0.003		0.00086	0.00024	0.015
CMOS Technology	65nm		65nm	65nm	65nm
* Estimated from PN plot FoM = -PN + $20 \log_{10} (f_0/\Delta f) - 10 \log_{10} (P_{DD}/ImW)$					

Figure 2.7.6: Performance comparison.

