# A Curvature Compensated BJT-based Time-Domain Temperature Sensor With An Inaccuracy of $\pm 0.7^{\circ}$ C From -40°C to 125°C

Yukun Xu<sup>1</sup>, Man-Kay Law<sup>1\*</sup>, Pui-In Mak<sup>1</sup> and Rui P. Martins<sup>1,2</sup>

1. State Key Lab. of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, IME and FST-ECE, University of Macau, Macao, China

2. On leave from Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

\*Email: mklaw@um.edu.mo

Abstract—This paper presents a BJT-based time-domain temperature sensor with  $V_{BE}$ -curvature compensation. The temperature dependent signals from the BJT frontend are processed by the delay pulse generator to produce a temperature dependent pulse width modulation (PWM) signal for low power consumption. To further improve the sensing accuracy, the PWM signal is quantized using an on-chip current-controlled oscillator (CCO) to compensate for the intrinsic  $V_{BE}$  non-linearity. Implemented in a standard 0.18-µm CMOS process, the proposed sensor achieves an inaccuracy of ±0.7°C using only one-point calibration at 30°C, while consuming a nominal power of 5.7µW over a wide range from -40°C to 125°C.

Keywords—CMOS temperature sensor, Time domain, Lowpower, Curvature compensation

# I. INTRODUCTION

CMOS temperature sensors, which feature easy circuitlevel interfacing and system integration, have always been playing an important role in many emerging wireless sensing applications. They are mainly classified into three categories depending on their readout topologies: 1) voltage domain; 2) frequency domain; and 3) time domain. Most voltage-type CMOS temperature sensors are based on incremental ADCs which can suffer from high power consumption and design complexity [1]. Due to the emerging low-cost and highperformance sensing applications for biomedical and logistic uses powered by energy harvesting sources, time-/frequencybased implementations become attractive due to their simplicity and low power characteristics.

When compared with frequency-domain temperature sensors which usually exhibit small chip area and high conversion rate [2], the time-domain implementations can typically achieve relatively higher accuracy and larger sensing range [3-5]. They typically employ inverters [3] or resistors [4] to generate a PWM signal for temperature sensing. However, both inverters and resistors suffer from high non-linearity, ultimately limiting their sensing accuracy without elaborate calibration efforts. A BJT can also be utilized with low power consumption but can suffer from high inaccuracy due to the intrinsic device non-linearity, leading to a limited sensing range from 0°C to 80°C as in [5].

Typically, one of the major sources of non-linearity for a BJT is the curvature in  $V_{BE}$ . To resolve this problem, this work proposes a curvature compensated BJT-based time-domain temperature sensor. Instead of using an ideal clock for PWM signal quantization as in existing works, we propose to compensate for the  $V_{BE}$  non-linearity by using a process tracking current controlled oscillator (CCO). Implemented in a standard 0.18-µm CMOS process, this work achieves a wide temperature range from -40°C to 125°C with an inaccuracy of  $\pm 0.7^{\circ}$ C after 1-point calibration, while consuming a nominal power consumption of only 5.7µW. Section II describes the



Fig. 1. Block diagram of the proposed temperature sensor.



Fig. 2. Architecture of the delay pulse generator.

system overview and the CMOS implementation of the proposed sensor. Section III presents the simulation results. Finally, Section IV draws the conclusions.

## II. CIRCUIT ARCHITECTURE

#### A. System Overview

Fig. 1 shows the block diagram of the proposed temperature sensor, comprising a BJT-based frontend, a delay pulse generator, a CCO and a time-to-digital converter. The BJT frontend utilizes the base-emitter voltages ( $V_{BE}$ ) of substrate PNPs to generate a complementary-to-absolute-temperature (CTAT) voltage. Instead of using power hungry incremental ADCs, the delay-pulse generator produces a temperature dependent PWM signal by discharging the capacitors while preserving the linearity. To compensate for the  $V_{BE}$  curvature for improved sensing accuracy, a current-controlled oscillator driven by a  $V_{BE}$ -dependent current source is also proposed to quantize the PWM signal while ensuring process tracking. The details of the circuit-level implementations are described next.

## *B.* Delay Pulse Generator

The delay pulse generator mainly consists of a chopped comparator, two capacitors  $(C_{1,2})$ , and a complementary-to-



Fig. 3. Schematic of the BJT-based frontend generator.



Fig. 4. Schematic of the current-controlled oscillator.

absolute-temperature (CTAT) bias current ( $I_B$ ). Both  $C_{1,2}$  are charged and discharged alternatively by controlling the switches as shown in Fig. 2. At the start of a temperature measurement,  $C_{1,2}$  are both initialized to  $V_{BE1}$ . During  $\Phi_I$ , the positive input node of the comparator  $V_P$  is connected to  $C_2$ , while  $V_N$  is shorted to  $V_{BE2}$ .  $C_2$  is then discharged by  $I_B$  until  $V_P$  reaches  $V_{BE2}$  with a discharging time  $t_1$ . During  $\Phi_2$ ,  $C_2$  is refreshed to  $V_{BE1}$  while  $C_I$  is now connected to  $V_P$ . With  $C_I$ discharged by  $I_B$  to  $V_N$  at  $V_{BE3}$  (which is set to  $V_{BEI}/2$  by the frontend in Fig. 3), we can obtain another discharging time  $t_2$ . The discharging times  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  can be expressed as,

$$t_{l} = \frac{c_{2}(v_{BE1} - v_{BE2})}{l_{B}} = \frac{c_{2} \Delta v_{BE}}{l_{B}},$$
 (1)

$$t_2 = \frac{c_1(v_{BE1} - v_{BE3})}{l_B} = \frac{c_1 v_{BE1}}{2l_B}.$$
 (2)

which are directly proportional to  $\Delta V_{BE}$  and  $V_{BE}$ , respectively. For clarity, we define the parameter  $m=2C_2/C_1$ . With *m* set to compensate for the temperature coefficient (TC) between  $\Delta V_{BE}$  and  $V_{BE1}$  to obtain a voltage reference  $V_{BG}$ , the corresponding PWM signal and duty cycle are,

$$t_{PWM} = t_1 + t_2 = \frac{m \Delta V_{BE} + V_{BE1}}{2C_1 I_B} = \frac{V_{BG}}{2C_1 I_B},$$
(3)

$$D(T) = \frac{t_1}{t_{PWM}} = \frac{kT}{q} \frac{m \cdot In(n)}{V_{BG}},$$
(4)

where k is the Boltzmann's constant, q is the elementary electric charge, and T is the absolute temperature. As observed in (4), D(T) is proportional-to-absolute-temperature (PTAT) and can be utilized for temperature measurement. Also, as  $V_{BG}$  exhibits the  $V_{BE}$  curvature, the sensing inaccuracy is increased when quantizing D(T) with an ideal clock. Consequently, we propose the use of an on-chip CCO for sensing accuracy improvement. Moreover, the simulated delay timing error  $t_d$  in Fig. 2 only contributes to negligible sensing error and can be ignored.



Fig. 5. Simulation results of (a)  $I_B$  vs. temperature; and (b)  $f_{CCO}$  vs. temperature.



Fig. 6. Simulated error using (a) an ideal clock; and (b)  $f_{CCO}$ .

## C. BJT-based Frontend Generator

Typically, a time domain CMOS temperature sensor employs resistors or inverter chains for temperature sensing [3-5], which can result in more calibration efforts to preserve the sensing accuracy. In this work, we adopted diodeconnected substrate PNP BJTs for its high accuracy. Fig.3 shows the corresponding low voltage BJT-based frontend. The amplifiers  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  serve as voltage clamps for generating  $I_{PTAT}$  and  $I_{CTAT}$  through  $\Delta V_{BE}$  across  $R_{PTAT}$  and  $V_{BE3}$ across  $R_{CTAT}$ , respectively. Both  $I_{PTAT}$  and  $I_{CTAT}$  are combined to generate  $I_B$  which is slightly CTAT in Fig. 2. This exploits small temperature dependency for  $V_{BE}$  curvature temperature compensation through the on-chip CCO as described next.

## D. Current-Controlled Oscillator

To achieve system-level co-optimization, we propose to quantize the temperature dependent PWM signal with the CCO for sensing accuracy improvement. Fig. 4 exhibits the circuit implementation [6] with parameter optimization for effective temperature compensation. The core of the CCO is a high gain differential comparator. The positive feedback ensures a well-defined hysteresis between  $V_Q$  and  $V_{CCO}$  to periodically charge/discharge  $C_0$  with an oscillation frequency  $f_{CCO}$ . When  $V_Q$  tends to rise above  $V_{REF}$ ,  $M_2$ conducts and feeds current into the current mirror  $(M_{3-5})$  which discharges  $C_0$ . The current  $I_c$  pulls  $V_Q$  down to  $V_{QL}$ , turning on  $M_1$  to charge  $C_0$ , sustaining the oscillation in  $V_{CCO}$ . In this work, the differential input pair  $M_{1,2}$  and output pair  $M_{6,7}$  are biased by  $I_{BI}$ , which is a scaled version of  $I_B$  through the current mirrors M<sub>B,B1,B2</sub>. Assuming negligible switching delays, the CCO oscillation frequency is,

$$f_{CCO} = \frac{I_{B1}}{r(C_0\lambda^{-1})} \propto I_B,\tag{5}$$

TABLE I. PERFORMANCE SUMMARY AND COMPARISON

Parameter	This work <sup>*</sup>	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]
Tech. (µm)	0.18	0.13	0.18	0.18	0.18
Sens. Type	BJT	BJT	MOS	MOS	MOS
Topology	Time	Time	Time	Time	Time
Cal. Point	1	1	1	2	1
Range (°C)	-40~125	-20~100	0~100	-20~120	-40~120
Supply (V)	1	1.05~1.4	1.8	1.8	1.8
Conv. Rate (sample/s)	8k	75k	N/A	1k	486k
Error (°C)#	±0.7	-1.7/ +1.26	±1	-2/+2	-0.85/ +0.78
Power (µW)	2.6~11.4	744	N/A	93.6	260
Energy (nJ/sample)	0.325~ 1.425	9.92	N/A	93.6	0.535

\* Simulation result #Min-Max error

where  $\lambda$  is the channel length modulation coefficient of  $M_4$  and r is a constant controlled by the sizing of  $M_{I-5}$ . As  $f_{CCO}$  is proportional to  $I_{BI}$ , it is therefore also proportional to  $I_B$ . Since the curvature of  $V_{BE}$  results in the non-linearities of  $V_{BG}$  in (4) and the bias current  $I_B$  also suffers from the same  $V_{BE}$  curvature, we can compensate for the  $V_{BE}$ -induced non-linearity at the digital output  $D_{OUT}$  by quantizing D(T) with  $f_{CCO}$ . By using (4), the curvatures in  $D_{OUT}$  due to the non-linearities in both  $V_{BG}$  and  $I_B$  will become,

$$D_{OUT}(T) = D(T) \times f_{CCO} \propto \frac{l_B}{v_{BG}} = \frac{\frac{V_{BE1}}{2R_{CTAT}} + \frac{\Delta V_{BE}}{R_{PTAT}}}{V_{BE1} + m\Delta V_{BE}}.$$
 (6)

For simplicity, we denote the rightmost part in (6) as  $D_{OUT \ curv}(T)$ . For effective curvature compensation,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \left( D_{OUT\_curv}(T) \right)}{\partial (T)^2} = 0.$$
(7)

This results in the following optimization parameter,

$$2R_{CTAT} = mR_{PTAT},\tag{8}$$

which can be accomplished in the design stage. Both  $R_{CTAT}$  and  $R_{PTAT}$  should be implemented using the same type of resistor so they can exhibit the same temperature characteristics for effective compensation. In this work, *m* is chosen to be 8, and the ratio of  $R_{PTAT}$  and  $R_{CTAT}$  is determined by (8), resulting in a slight CTAT characteristic in  $I_B$ .

### **III. SIMULATION RESULTS**

We implemented the proposed temperature sensor in a standard 0.18- $\mu$ m CMOS process, with a 1V supply and a conversion time of 125 $\mu$ s. The simulated power consumption varies from 2.6 to 11.4 $\mu$ W with the temperature changing from -40°C to 125°C (nominal at 5.7 $\mu$ W). Fig. 5(a) displays the measured bias current  $I_B$  versus temperature, which exhibits a slight CTAT characteristic varying from 31nA to 29 nA between -40°C and 125°C. Fig. 5(b) plots the simulated  $f_{CCO}$  as temperature changes. It can be observed that  $f_{CCO}$  also exhibits a similar trend as  $I_B$ . Fig. 6 shows the simulation results when D(T) is quantized with both an ideal clock and  $f_{CCO}$ . It can be observed that the sensing error can be effectively reduced from -1.5/+1°C to -0.7/+0.7°C between

-40°C and 125°C after one-point calibration, demonstrating the effectiveness of the proposed compensation method. Table I summarizes the performance of the proposed circuit and compares it with the state-of-the-art. The comparison with the other time-domain sensors reveals that this work achieves low voltage operation with low power consumption while maintaining a high sensing accuracy over a wide temperature range.

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

This paper introduced a curvature compensated BJT-based time-domain CMOS temperature sensor circuit using the onchip CCO. Implemented using a standard 0.18-µm CMOS process the proposed sensor reaches a wide operating range from -40°C to 125°C with an inaccuracy of -0.7°C/+0.7°C. The low power consumption and high accuracy make it suitable for many low-cost/low-power sensing tasks including environment monitoring, as well as biomedical and life science applications.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by Macau Science and Technology Development Fund (FDCT069/2016/A2) and the Research Committee of University of Macau (MYRG2017-00221-AMSV).

#### References

- K. Souri, Y. Chae, and K. Makinwa, "A CMOS Temperature Sensor With a Voltage-Calibrated Inaccuracy of ±0.15°C (3σ) From -55°C to 125°C," IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference (ISSCC), pp. 208-210, Feb. 2012.
- [2] W. Y. Li and D. H. Zhou, "1.8V -0.18-µm CMOS Temperature Sensor with Frequency Output," IEEE Canadian Conference on Electrical & Computer Engineering (CCECE), pp. 1-4, May 2018.
- [3] J. Yin, J. Yi, M. K. Law, Y. Ling, M. C. Lee, K. P. Ng, B. Gao, H. C. Luong, A. Bermak, M. Chan, W. Ki, C. Tsui, and M. Yuen, "A System-on-Chip EPC Gen-2 Passive UHF RFID Tag with Embedded Temperature Sensor," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 45, no. 11, pp. 2404-2420, Nov. 2010.
- [4] H. Park and J. Kim, "A 0.8-V Resistor-Based Temperature Sensor in 65-nm CMOS With Supply Sensitivity of 0.28 °C/V," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 53, no.3, pp. 906-912. Mar. 2018.
- [5] A. Shrivastava, K. Craig, N. E. Roberts, D. D. Wentzloff, and B.H. Calhoun, "A 32nW bandgap reference voltage operational from 0.5V supply for ultra-low power systems," IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference (ISSCC), pp. 94-95, Feb. 2015.
- [6] K. R. Raghunandan, T Lakshmi Viswanathan, T. R. Viswanathan, "Linear current-controlled oscillator for analog to digital conversion," IEEE Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC), pp.1-4, Nov. 2014.
- [7] T. Zhong, T. Nick Nianxiong, S. Zheng et al., "A 1.2V self-referenced temperature sensor with a time-domain readout and a two-step improvement on output dynamic range," IEEE Sensors Journal, pp. 1849-1858, Dec. 2017.
- [8] J. Tan and G. Glaser, "Supply sensitivity analysis for low-power timedomain temperature sensor in RFID application," IEEE International Conference on RFID Technology & Application (RFID-TA), pp. 20-22, Nov. 2017.
- [9] T. H. Tran, H. W. Peng, P. C. P. Chao, and J. W. Hsieh, "A low-ppm digitally controlled crystal oscillator compensated by a new 0.19-mm2 time-domain temperature sensor," IEEE Sensors Journal, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 51–62, Jan. 2017.
- [10] P. Chen, Y. J. Hu, J. C. Liou, and B. C. Ren, "A 486k S/s CMOS timedomain smart temperature sensor with -0.85°C/0.78°C voltagecalibrated error," in Proc. IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS), pp. 2109–2112, May 2015.