# A 0.5-V 0.4-to-1.6-GHz 8-Phase Bootstrap Ring-VCO Using Inherent Non-Overlapping Clocks Achieving a 162.2-dBc/Hz FoM

Tongquan Jiang, Jun Yin<sup>®</sup>, *Member, IEEE*, Pui-In Mak<sup>®</sup>, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Rui P. Martins<sup>®</sup>, *Fellow, IEEE* 

Abstract—An ultra-low-voltage 8-phase bootstrap (BT) ring-voltage-controlled oscillator (RVCO) exhibiting an improved figure of merit up to 165.2 dBc/Hz is reported. Unlike the existing RVCOs that use single-ended BT inverters with conventional clocks, our RVCO benefits from the inherent non-overlapping clocks of pseudo-differential BT inverters to reduce the charge loss due to asynchronous charge-pump operation, and the phase sensitivity to the transistor noise. They together result in higher oscillation frequency and output swing, while lowering the phase noise. Fabricated in 65-nm CMOS, the BT RVCO measures a phase noise of -93.7 to -92.6 dBc/Hz at a 1-MHz offset from 0.4 to 1.6 GHz, while dissipating 47.4 to 280 µW at 0.5 V.

*Index Terms*—Ring voltage-controlled oscillator (RVCO), low voltage, bootstrap (BT), non-overlapping clock, phase noise.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

ULTRA-LOW-VOLTAGE circuits offer the prospective towards self-powering ultra-low-power systems [1], [2] by energy harvesting, avoiding or reducing the loss of the boost converter that can have a limited power efficiency (<74% [3]). Still, constrained by the environments, energy sources like solar and thermoelectric sometimes only can output a small voltage as low as the transistor's threshold voltage. This brief investigates the bootstrap (BT) ring-VCO (RVCO) for a better performance under a 0.5-V supply. RVCO has the benefits of tiny area, wide frequency tuning range and immunity to magnetic pulling. Recent efforts on the system level have demonstrated the potential of RVCO to replace the bulky LC-VCO even for GHz-range wireless radios [4], [5].

Manuscript received March 26, 2018; accepted May 26, 2018. Date of publication May 31, 2018; date of current version January 29, 2019. This work was supported in part by the Macao Science and Technology Development Fund SKL Fund and in part by the University of Macau under Grant MYRG-2015-00097. This brief was recommended by Associate Editor A. Cilardo. (*Corresponding author: Jun Yin.*)

T. Jiang and P.-I. Mak are with the State-Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, University of Macau, Macau, China, and also with the Faculty of Science and Technology—ECE, University of Macau, Macau, China (e-mail: pimak@umac.mo).

J. Yin is with the State-Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, University of Macau, Macau, China (e-mail: junyin@umac.mo).

R. P. Martins is with the State-Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, University of Macau, Macau, China, and also with the Faculty of Science and Technology—ECE, University of Macau, Macau, China, on leave from the Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCSII.2018.2842185

(a) (a)  $V_{clk1}$   $V_{out}$   $O_1$   $V_{clk1}$   $V_{out}$   $O_2$   $V_{clk2}$   $V_{clk2}$ 

Fig. 1. (a) Single-ended BT RVCO and (b) schematic of the BT inverter.

For a typical RVCO using inverter-like delay elements, both its oscillation frequency and output drivability are low when the supply voltage is close to or below the transistor's threshold voltage. Also, the oscillation frequency becomes strongly nonlinear with the supply voltage. In [6] and [7], the BT RVCO is developed to take advantages of the built-in charge pump to boost the output swing of the delay element, e.g., the inverter in Fig. 1. Two embedded charge pumps ( $M_{N2-N3}$ ,  $M_{P4}$ ,  $C_{BN}$ and  $M_{P2-P3}$ ,  $M_{N4}$ ,  $C_{BP}$ ) are switched by the inherent clock signals  $(V_{clk1,2})$  of the RVCO. This BT technique succeeds in extending the internal supply voltages ( $V_{CP}$  and  $V_{CN}$ ) of the inverted- based delay elements  $(M_{P1}, M_{N1})$  to  $(1+\beta)V_{DD}$  and  $-\beta V_{\text{DD}}$ ; it implies an enlarged output swing by  $\sim (1+2\beta) \times$ , where  $\beta$  is a scaling factor <1 due to the charge loss under asynchronous charge pump clocking, and the charge sharing between  $C_{\rm BN}$  and  $C_{\rm BP}$  with the parasitic/load capacitors.

From Fig. 1(b), non-overlapping  $V_{clk1}$  and  $V_{clk2}$  are preferred to prevent  $M_{N2}$  and  $M_{N3}$  or  $M_{P2}$  and  $M_{P3}$  from switching on simultaneously. Regrettably, the single-ended configuration [6], [7] does not inherently feature such nonoverlapping clocks. As a compromise,  $V_{clk1}$  and  $V_{clk2}$  are connected to  $V_{in}$  and  $V_{out}$ , respectively. If  $V_{clk1}$  is low while  $V_{clk2}$  is high,  $M_{N3}$  and  $M_{P4}$  in the bottom charge pump

1549-7747 © 2018 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications\_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.





Fig. 2. Timing diagram of the  $V_{clk1,2}$  in the single-ended BT RVCO and the states of the transistors in the bottom charge pump during the time interval  $t_{ov}$ . This defines the conventional clocks under low-voltage supply.



Fig. 3. Simulated (a) output swing and (b) oscillation frequency against the number of stage N and  $\Delta \varphi$  for a single-ended BT RVCO. ( $V_{\text{DD}} = 0.3$ V,  $W_1 = 0.3 \,\mu\text{m}$ ,  $W_2 = 1 \,\mu\text{m}$ ,  $W_3 = 0.75 \,\mu\text{m}$ ).

will be turned on, and the BT capacitor  $C_{\rm BN}$  will be gradually charged to  $\Delta V_{\text{CBN}} = V_{\text{XN}} - V_{\text{CN}} \approx V_{\text{DD}}$  during the capacitor charging period  $t_{ch}$  (or  $\varphi_{ch}$  in the phase domain) as shown in Fig. 2. During the period that  $V_{clk1}$  transits from  $-\beta V_{\text{DD}}$  to  $(1+\beta)V_{\text{DD}}$ ,  $M_{\text{N1}}$  and  $M_{\text{N2}}$  will be turned on when  $V_{\text{clk1}} > V_{\text{TH,N}}$ , which will discharge  $V_{\text{out}}$  from  $(1 + \beta)V_{\text{DD}}$  to  $-\beta V_{\rm DD}$ . In fact,  $M_{\rm N3}$  and  $M_{\rm P4}$  should be turned off to prevent the charge loss during such period. Under a low-voltage supply (i.e.,  $V_{\text{DD}} < V_{\text{TH,N}} + V_{\text{TH,P}}$ ),  $M_{\text{P4}}$  controlled by  $V_{\text{clk1}}$ will always be turned off before  $M_{N1}$  and  $M_{N2}$  are turned on (Fig. 2). Yet, since  $V_{clk2}$  is connected to  $V_{out}$ ,  $M_{N3}$  is still on for a long time  $t_{ov}$  (or  $\varphi_{ov}$  in the phase domain) as shown in Fig. 2 until  $V_{clk2}$  reaches  $V_{TH,N} - \beta V_{DD}$ . During  $t_{ov}$ ,  $C_{BN}$  is discharged through  $M_{N2}$  and  $M_{N3}$ , resulting in the decrease of  $\Delta V_{\text{CBN}}$ . A similar condition happens for the upper charge pump when  $V_{in}$  transits from high to low.

The loss of charge in  $M_{\rm N3}/M_{\rm P3}$  will degrade both the output swing and oscillation frequency, especially when  $\varphi_{ov}/\varphi_{ch}$ is large. For an N-stage single-ended BT RVCO, the phase delay of the BT inverter is  $\pi + \Delta \varphi$  where  $\Delta \varphi = \pi / N$ , and  $\varphi_{\rm ch}$  and  $\varphi_{\rm ov}$  can be approximated by  $\pi$  and  $\Delta \varphi$ , respectively. Thus  $\varphi_{\rm ov}/\varphi_{\rm ch} \approx 1/N$ , which implies severe output swing and frequency degradation at a small N. Fig. 3(a) plots the output swing versus N and  $\Delta \varphi$  at different  $C_{\rm BN}/C_{\rm BP}$  when  $V_{\rm DD} = 0.3$  V, and the load capacitance  $C_{\rm L}$  of each stage is  $\sim$ 8.4 fF. Here we choose the size of each PMOS transistor twice of that of the corresponding NMOS transistor to balance their conductance [Fig. 1(b)]. The sizes of  $M_{N3}$  and  $M_{\rm N4}$  are kept the same since  $C_{\rm BN} = C_{\rm BP}$ . The minimum length (L = 60 nm) is chosen for each transistor to boost the oscillation frequency at low supply voltage. It is observed that the output swing is degraded especially when N = 3. For



Fig. 4. (a) Block diagram of the proposed 8-phase BT RVCO core using nonoverlapping clocks and (b) its pseudo-differential delay element. (c) Schematic of the cross-coupled inverter with auxiliary startup transistors.

a larger *N*, the increase of output swing becomes flat, since the charge loss of the parasitic capacitors becomes dominant. Fig. 3(a) shows that choosing a large  $C_{\rm BN}/C_{\rm BP}$  can raise the total charge stored on the BT capacitor increasing the output swing, but at the expense of chip area. For a RVCO using the same delay element, the oscillation frequency should be inversely proportional to *N* for the same output swing target. Yet, for the single-ended BT RVCO [Fig. 3(b)], the oscillation frequency at N = 3 is lower than that at N = 5, owing to the fact that a smaller output swing at N = 3 prolongs the delay in each stage.

The overlapping time  $t_{ov}$  between  $V_{clk1}$  and  $V_{clk2}$  will also degrade the phase noise of the BT RVCO, to be detailed in Section II. Although raising N can reduce  $t_{ov}$ , the oscillation frequency will inevitably decrease [Fig. 3(b)] as a tradeoff.

In this brief, we propose an 8-phase BT RVCO to deliver a pair of differential clocks for  $V_{clk1}$  and  $V_{clk2}$ , which eliminate the overlapping time between  $V_{clk1}$  and  $V_{clk2}$  at lowvoltage supply, and thus boost the output swing and oscillation frequency while reducing the phase noise. Also, the pseudodifferential configuration is capable of offering a set of output signals with even number phases, which can be employed to support harmonic-rejection mixers [8] widely used in modern wideband RF transceivers.

## II. PROPOSED 8-PHASE BT-RVCO WITH INHERENT NON-OVERLAPPING CLOCKS

To eliminate the overlapping time  $t_{\rm ov}$  between  $V_{\rm clk1}$  and  $V_{\rm clk2}$ ,  $M_{\rm P3}$  and  $M_{\rm N3}$  [Fig. 1(b)] should be controlled by the differential version of  $V_{\rm in}$  which is inherent in our BT RVCO with an even number of stages. Fig. 4 shows the 8-phase version of it. The BT inverters in Fig. 4(b) employ the same schematic as that in Fig. 1(b). Since the  $V_{\rm clk1}/V_{\rm clk2}$  is now controlled by  $V_{\rm in} + /V_{\rm in} - (V_{\rm in} - /V_{\rm in} +)$ , they can be non-overlapping ( $t_{\rm ov} = 0$ ) under  $V_{\rm DD} < 2V_{\rm TH}/(1 + \beta)$  where  $V_{\rm TH} = \max\{V_{\rm TH,N}, |V_{\rm TH,P}|\}$ , assuming that the rising and falling edges are symmetric (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. Timing diagram of the clock signals in the 8-phase BT RVCO using non-overlapping clocks.



Fig. 6. Startup signal generation circuit.

To ensure the oscillation of a RVCO with an even number of stages, cross-coupled inverters must be added at the outputs of the pseudo-differential delay elements [9] as shown in Fig. 4(b). The supply and ground of the inverter  $(M_{\rm N5}/M_{\rm P5})$ in Fig. 4(c) should be also connected to the boosted internal supply and ground  $V_{CP}/V_{CN}$  of the BT inverter, so that the boosted output swing will not be impaired. The proposed BT RVCO only can startup when the cross-coupled inverters work properly. Yet, the supply and ground voltages  $V_{CP}/V_{CN}$ of the cross-coupled inverters cannot be properly defined if the BT RVCO does not startup. Thus, the embedded charge pumps in the BT inverters will malfunction, since their clocks come from the outputs of the BT RVCO. Here, for startup robustness, we add the auxiliary transistors  $M_{\rm N6}/M_{\rm P6}$  to the cross-coupled inverter [Fig. 4(c)] to facilitate the startup of the BT RVCO. The control signals  $V_{\rm BN}/V_{\rm BP}$  for  $M_{\rm N6}/M_{\rm P6}$ are generated by a startup circuit (Fig. 6). Right after the  $V_{DD}$ ramps up,  $V_{\rm BN}/V_{\rm BP}$  will stay high/low for a reasonable time period of  $t_{\rm d} \approx 25 \ \mu s$ , to turn on  $M_{\rm N6}/M_{\rm P6}$ , and the inverter  $(M_{\rm N5}/M_{\rm P5})$  can be connected between  $V_{\rm DD}$  and ground. When the oscillation is building up steadily and  $V_{CP}/V_{CN}$  are well defined,  $V_{\rm BN}/V_{\rm BP}$  can be changed to turn off  $M_{\rm N6}/M_{\rm P6}$  in order that the entire operation can achieve the desired output swing of  $(1 + 2\beta)V_{DD}$ .

To design the 8-phase RVCO using non-overlapping clocks, we use a small  $W_1 = 0.3 \ \mu m$  for the core inverter and sweep the sizes of the transistors in the charge pump, i.e.,  $W_2$  and  $W_3$ . The load capacitor  $C_L$  is also scaled with the transistor width. The output swing depends on the voltage on the BT capacitors and the charge sharing between the BT capacitors and  $C_{\rm L}$ . A small  $W_3$  degrades the voltage on the BT capacitors while a large  $W_3$  will increase the  $C_{\rm L}$ . Thus the output swing reaches its maximum at  $W_3 = 0.75 \ \mu m$  as shown in Fig. 7(a). The current consumption is determined by the sizes of transistors  $M_{N1,N2}$  and  $M_{P1,P2}$  as well as the output swing. Thus the current consumption changes in a similar way as the output swing when  $W_3$  increases. When  $W_3 > 0.75 \ \mu m$ , the frequency drops fast due to the quick increase of the load capacitor. The phase noise improvement when  $W_3$  increases from 0.5 to 0.75  $\mu$ m is mainly due to the increase of output swing. As  $W_3$  further increases, the phase noise reduction is mainly due to the frequency drop.



Fig. 7. Simulated current, output swing, oscillation frequency and phase noise of the 8-phase BT RVCO using non-overlapping clocks versus (a)  $W_3$  ( $W_2 = 1 \ \mu$ m) and (b)  $W_2$  ( $W_3 = 0.75 \ \mu$ m). ( $V_{DD} = 0.3$ V,  $W_1 = 0.3 \ \mu$ m,  $C_{BN} = C_{BP} = 70$  fF).

As shown in Fig. 7(b), when  $W_2$  increases, the output swing continuously decreases due to the increased  $C_L$ . When  $W_2$  is comparable with  $W_1$ , the current can be increased by enlarging  $W_2$ , but will finally saturate when  $W_2$  becomes much larger than  $W_1$ . As  $W_2$  increases, the frequency will rise firstly due to the increased current and then decreases when the current saturates due to the increased  $C_L$ . According to Fig. 7(b), we can choose  $W_2 = 1 \ \mu m$  and  $W_3 = 0.75 \ \mu m$  to achieve high oscillation frequency and low phase noise.

The performance of the proposed 8-phase BT RVCO using non-overlapping clocks can be compared with itself under the conventional clocks, i.e., connecting  $V_{clk2}$  of the BT inverter to  $V_{\text{out}}$  in Fig. 4(b). For the 8-phase RVCO, the phase delay of the BT inverter becomes  $\pi + \Delta \varphi$  where  $\Delta \varphi = \pi/4$ . According to Fig. 3, the RVCO using conventional clocks will suffer from noticeable output swing and oscillation frequency degradation due to this large  $\Delta \varphi$ . Fig. 8 compares the simulated performance of the 8-phase BT RVCOs using non-overlapping clocks and conventional clocks as well as the 5-stage single-ended BT RVCO which shows the highest oscillation frequency for the single-ended topology [Fig. 3(b)]. All the three designs employ the BT inverters with the same transistor sizes as  $W_1 = (0.3 \times M) \ \mu m, \ W_2 = (1 \times M) \ \mu m$ and  $W_3 = (0.75 \times M) \ \mu m$ . The transistor sizes can be scaled up by increasing M. The 8-phase BT RVCO using non-overlapping clocks can achieve a higher frequency than both the 8-phase BT RVCO using conventional clocks and 5-stage single-ended BT RVCO when M increases from 1 to 8. In both the single-ended BT RVCO and the 8-phase BT RVCO using conventional clocks,  $M_{N3}$  and  $M_{P3}$  are turned on for quite a long time  $(t_{ov})$  when the output transits (Fig. 2), which adds substantial noise contributions. In the proposed 8-phase BT RVCO using non-overlapping clocks, the phase noise contribution from  $M_{N3}$  and  $M_{P3}$  are significantly reduced by eliminating the overlapping time  $t_{ov}$  between  $V_{clk1}$  and  $V_{clk2}$ , resulting in a lower phase noise than the other two VCOs even operating at a higher frequency [Fig. 8(c)]. According to the simulated FoMs of the three VCOs in Fig. 8(d), the 8-phase BT RVCO using non-overlapping clocks can achieve a 3.3- and 3.0-dB better FoM than the 8-phase BT RVCO using



Fig. 8. Comparison of simulated (a) current, (b) oscillation frequency, (c) phase noise and (d) FoM for the 8-phase BT RVCOs using nonoverlapping clocks and conventional clocks and 5-stage single-ended BT RVCO. ( $V_{DD} = 0.3 \text{ V}, W_2 = 1 \text{ } \mu\text{m}, W_3 = 0.75 \text{ } \mu\text{m}$ ).



Fig. 9. Frequency tuning scheme for the proposed 8-phase BT RVCO.

conventional clocks and 5-stage single-ended BT RVCO at M = 1, respectively. At a large M = 8, the FoM improvement decreases to 1.8 and 2.7 dB mainly due to the reduced flicker noise contribution from  $M_{N3}$  and  $M_{P3}$  when their sizes become larger. Particularly, when operating at a similar frequency of 400 MHz, the 8-phase BT RVCO using non-overlapping clocks can achieve a 2.3- and 2.7-dB higher FoM than the other two RVCOs.

The frequency tuning of the proposed 8-phase BT RVCO is achieved by changing its current as Fig. 9 shows. The use of the current mirror improves the frequency pulling against supply variation. The lowpass filter ( $R_1$  and  $C_1$ ) is to suppress the noise contribution from  $M_{\rm B1}$ .

### **III. MEASUREMENT RESULTS**

Two prototypes were fabricated in 65-nm CMOS (Fig. 10) for comparison: 8-phase BT RVCOs under non-overlapping clocks and conventional clocks. We chose  $C_{\rm BN} = C_{\rm BP} = 70$  fF to ensure a small core area (0.0033 mm<sup>2</sup>) and  $W_1 = 0.3 \mu$ m,  $W_2 = 1 \mu$ m and  $W_3 = 0.5 \mu$ m to achieve a high frequency while still keeping low power consumption. Both prototypes occupy the same total area of ~0.01 mm<sup>2</sup> with the current mirror as the main contributor for this value.

 TABLE I

 Comparison Between Simulation and Measurement Result

	Freq. (GHz)	Current (µA)	PN@1MHz (dBc/Hz)	FoM@1MHz (dBc/Hz)
Simulation (Proposed)	1.41	472	-90.2	159.5
Measurement (Proposed)	1.40	455	-92.0	161.4
Simulation (Conventional)	1,35	480	-88.1	156.9
Measurement (Conventional)	1.36	512	-90.2	158.8



Fig. 10. Chip photo of the two fabricated BT RVCOs in 65-nm CMOS.



Fig. 11. Measured phase noise of the BT RVCOs using non-overlapping and conventional clocks at the (a) lowest and (b) highest frequencies.



Fig. 12. Measured current consumptions of the two BT RVCOs with non-overlapping clocks (triangle symbols) and conventional clocks (circle symbols) versus the oscillation frequency.

We fixed the supply voltage at 0.5 V and tuned the frequency by changing the bias current  $I_B$  (Fig. 9).

Fig. 11 illustrates the phase noises at offset frequencies from 100 kHz to 10 MHz measured by Keysight E5052B Signal

	This work				TCAS-II'15 [11]	JSSC'13 [7]	TCAS-I'11 [12]	TCAS-II'09 [13]
Topology	BT RVCO using non-overlapping clocks		BT RVCO using conventional clocks		Relaxation DCO with Adaptive Threshold Calibration	BT RVCO using conventional clocks	Bulk-Driven RVCO	Bulk-Driven RVCO
Supply Voltage (V)	0.5			0.5	0.2-0.6*	0.5	0.5	
Frequency Range (GHz)	0.4 - 1.6 0.34 - 1. (120%) (120%)		- 1.36 0%)	0.0435 – 0.152 (111%)	0.04 – 0.771 (180%)	0.16 – 2.5 (175.9%)	0.306 – 0.725 (81.3%)	
Carrier (GHz)	0.4	1.6	0.34	1.36	0.1	0.771	2.24	0.55
Power (µW)	47.4	280	45.7	260	59	87.6	1157	210
PN @ 1MHz (dBc/Hz)	-93.7	-92.6	-91.1	-90.2	-103.4	-89	-87	-95
FoM @ 1MHz (dBc/Hz)	159.0	162.2	155.1	158.7	155.7	157.3	153.4	156.6
No. of Output Phases	8		8		2	5	8	3
Core Area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	0.0108 0.0108		108	0.0362	0.0019	0.0017	0.017	
CMOS Technology	65nm			65nm	90nm	90nm	0.13µm	

TABLE II Performance Summary and Comparison

\* Frequency tuning through changing  $V_{DD}$ .



Fig. 13. Measured (a) phase noise and (b) FoM of the two BT RVCOs with non-overlapping clocks (solid lines) and conventional clocks (dashed lines) versus the oscillation frequency.

Source Analyzer. At 1-MHz offset, the phase noise of the proposed BT RVCO is 2.4/2.6 dB better at the lowest/highest frequencies when compared with those of the conventional BT RVCO. As Fig. 12 exhibits, the proposed BT RVCO also shows a higher oscillation frequency under the same current due to the increased output swing. Table I compares the simulated and measured BT-RVCO performance. The measured FoM improvement at 1-MHz offset is 2.6 dB which is close to that of the simulation results. The discrepancies on the absolute values of phase noise and FoM between simulation and measurement are likely caused by the inaccurate device model and the exclusion of the bondwire effect in the simulation.

Fig. 13 compares the phase noise and FoM between the BT RVCOs under non-overlapping and conventional clocks. The former shows better results from 100 kHz to 10 MHz offsets, and extends the highest oscillation frequency from 1.36 to 1.6 GHz.

Table II summarizes the performance and comparison with other similar circuit structures. Benchmarking with the BT RVCO under the conventional clocks, this brief shows an improved FoM up to 3.9 dB at 1-MHz frequency offsets. Likewise, when contrasted with other recently published VCO/DCOs with a low supply voltage, this brief inherently provides an 8-phase output, and shows a higher FoM at 1-MHz frequency offsets. The relatively large chip area is mainly due to the current mirror employed for frequency tuning.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

An 8-phase BT RVCO using the inherent non-overlapping clocks is proposed. The new clocking scheme aids to reduce the charge loss from the embedded charge pump, and reduces the phase sensitivity to the transistor noise, resulting in boosted output swing and oscillation frequency and reduced phase noise. The proposed techniques can be applied to other BT RVCOs with even number of delay stages.

#### References

- Y. Zhang *et al.*, "A batteryless 19 μW MICS/ISM-band energy harvesting body sensor node SoC for ExG applications," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 199–213, Jan. 2013.
- [2] W.-H. Yu, H. Yi, P.-I. Mak, J. Yin, and R. P. Martins, "24.4 A 0.18V 382µW Bluetooth low-energy (BLE) receiver with 1.33nW sleep power for energy-harvesting applications in 28nm CMOS," in *ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, San Francisco, CA, USA, Feb. 2017, pp. 414–415.
- [3] Y.-K. Teh and P. K. T. Mok, "Design of transformer-based boost converter for high internal resistance energy harvesting sources with 21 mV self-startup voltage and 74% power efficiency," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 49, no. 11, pp. 2694–2704, Nov. 2014.
- [4] H. Wu, M. Mikhemar, D. Murphy, H. Darabi, and M.-C. F. Chang, "2.1 A highly linear inductorless wideband receiver with phase- and thermalnoise cancellation," in *ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2015, pp. 1–3.
- [5] L. Kong and B. Razavi, "25.7 A 2.4GHz 4mW inductorless RF synthesizer," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 626–635, Mar. 2016.
- [6] Y. Ho, Y.-S. Yang, and C. Su, "A 0.2–0.6 V ring oscillator design using bootstrap technique," in *Proc. IEEE Asian Solid-State Circuits Conf.* (ASSCC), Nov. 2011, pp. 333–336.
- [7] Y. Ho, Y.-S. Yang, C. Chang, and C. Su, "A near-threshold 480 MHz 78 μW all-digital PLL with a bootstrapped DCO," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 48, no. 11, pp. 2805–2814, Nov. 2013.
- [8] K.-F. Un, P.-I. Mak, and R. P. Martins, "Analysis and design of openloop multiphase local-oscillator generator for wireless applications," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Reg. Papers*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 970–981, May 2010.
- [9] R. Betancourt-Zamora and T. H. Lee, "Low phase noise CMOS ring oscillator VCOs for frequency synthesis," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Workshop Design Mixed Mode Integr. Circuits*, Jul. 1998, pp. 37–40.
- [10] Y. Zhang, W. Rhee, T. Kim, H. Park, and Z. Wang, "A 0.35–0.5-V 18–152 MHz digitally controlled relaxation oscillator With adaptive threshold calibration in 65-nm CMOS," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II, Exp. Briefs*, vol. 62, no. 8, pp. 736–740, Aug. 2015.
- [11] K.-H. Cheng, Y.-C. Tsai, Y.-L. Lo, and J.-S. Huang, "A 0.5-V 0.4-2.24-GHz inductorless phase-locked loop in a system-on-chip," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Reg. Papers*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 849–859, May 2011.
- [12] Y.-L. Lo, W.-B. Yang, T.-S. Chao, and K.-H. Cheng, "Designing an ultralow-voltage phase-locked loop using a bulk-driven technique," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II, Exp. Briefs*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 339–343, May 2009.