A 1.1 μ W CMOS Smart Temperature Sensor With an Inaccuracy of ± 0.2 °C (3σ) for Clinical Temperature Monitoring

Man-Kay Law, Member, IEEE, Sanfeng Lu, Tao Wu, Amine Bermak, Fellow, IEEE, Pui-In Mak, Senior Member, IEEE, and Rui P. Martins, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract-In this paper, an ultra-low power, high accuracy CMOS smart temperature sensor customized for clinical temperature monitoring based on substrate p-n-p bipolar junction transistors (BJTs) is presented. A power efficient analog front end with a sensing-range customized multi-ratio pregain stage is proposed to effectively utilize the input range of the incremental analog-to-digital converter to relax the conversion speed and resolution requirement. A block-based data weighted averaging technique is also proposed to achieve highly accurate pre-gain ratios while significantly reducing the implementation complexity. The complete temperature sensor is implemented in a standard 0.18 µm CMOS process occupying an active area of 0.198 mm². Measurement results from 20 test chips show that an inaccuracy of ± 0.2 °C (3 σ) is achieved from 25 °C to 45 °C after one-point calibration. The average power consumption is 1.1 μ W at a conversion speed of 2 Sa/s.

Index Terms—Smart temperature sensor, ultra-low power, high accuracy, incremental analog-to-digital converter (I-ADC), multi-ratio pre-gain, block-based data weighted averaging (BDWA).

I. INTRODUCTION

WITH the aim of improving human healthcare, wearable and/or implantable biomedical devices which can provide long-term monitoring for detecting critical and abnormal body conditions are becoming increasing popular. Being one of the most important physiological parameters,

Manuscript received August 11, 2015; revised October 9, 2015; accepted January 10, 2016. Date of publication January 18, 2016; date of current version February 24, 2016. This work was supported by the Research Committee of University of Macau under Grant MYRG115-FST12-LMK. The associate editor coordinating the review of this paper and approving it for publication was Dr. Anupama Kaul.

M.-K. Law is with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, University of Macau, Macao, China (e-mail: mklaw@umac.mo).

S. Lu and P.-I. Mak are with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI and FST-ECE, University of Macau, Macao, China (e-mail: mb35443@umac.mo; pimak@umac.mo).

T. Wu was with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI and FST-ECE, University of Macau, Macao, China. He is now with Huada Empyrean Software Company, Ltd., Beijing, China (e-mail: toon-apoleon@163.com).

A. Bermak is with the College of Science and Engineering, Hamad Bin Khalifa University, Doha, Qatar, and also with the Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong (e-mail: eebermak@ust.hk).

R. P. Martins is with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI and FST-ECE, University of Macau, Macao, China, on leave from Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal (e-mail: rmartins@umac.mo).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JSEN.2016.2518706

temperature sensing with high accuracy is generally required in such systems. According to [1], human body temperature sensors should achieve an accuracy of ± 0.1 °C from 37 °C to 39 °C, and ± 0.2 °C both below 37 °C and above 39 °C. To achieve a prolonged operation lifetime with low cost, the temperature sensor should preferably have ultra-low power consumption. These stringent application specific requirements mandate the design of high accuracy low power smart temperature sensors [2].

Traditional temperature sensors are generally discrete devices such as thermistors, platinum resistors, Pt wire, which are bulky, consume high power or incompatible with CMOS process. Smart temperature sensors based on low-cost standard CMOS technology are becoming more and more popular as the sensor interface and readout electronics can be readily fabricated in a single chip, producing a readily interpretable temperature reading in a digital format [3]-[5]. In CMOS technology, two types of popular temperature sensing devices, namely MOSFET and BJT, have been exploited in detail. For MOSFET [2]-[4], due to the spread in gate oxide thickness and channel doping, two-point calibration is required to achieve a high sensing accuracy [6]. The induced extra production cost makes it unfavorable for mass production. BJT-based sensors are proven to be more accurate and can achieve an inaccuracy below ± 0.2 °C with only one-point calibration [5], [7]. To achieve this level of accuracy, a high precision readout circuit is necessary. Due to the one-shot operation required, incremental ADCs (I-ADCs) together with precision techniques including dynamic element matching (DEM) and system level chopping have been widely used. This, however, results in relatively high power consumption (in the order of few μW to tens of μW) as a high resolution I-ADC requires much power budget which dominates the sensor power consumption. For emerging applications such as passively powered wireless temperature sensing systems focusing on ultra-low power consumption [2], [3], MOSFET-based designs are becoming prevalent to achieve ultra-low power consumption, with the penalty of higher production cost as a result of increased calibration efforts to ensure high accuracy [2].

In this work, a CMOS temperature sensor is designed with high accuracy and ultra-low power consumption especially suitable for passively-powered clinical temperature monitoring

1558-1748 © 2016 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

applications where the human body temperature can be readily measured non-invasively [2]. In the targeted applications, as only a narrow temperature sensing range is required, the input range of the readout circuit is poorly utilized. Also, a relatively low sampling rate is generally required that can not only reduce the sensor power, but also relaxes the back-end processing and wireless power. Based on these observations, instead of using a high resolution I-ADC employing a highorder modulator with a large integrator bandwidth as in [7], a multi-ratio pre-gain stage is proposed to relax the resolution requirement of the I-ADC by optimizing its input range utilization. BDWA is also proposed to alleviate the capacitor mismatch error while effectively relaxing the control overhead. Our proposed temperature sensor features ultra-low power consumption (less than 1/4.6X as compared to [7]) which is of utmost importance in passively powered applications where the energy storage in the remote sensing system is limited for cost reduction. This paper is organized as follows. Section II illustrates the operation principle of BJT-based temperature sensors. Section III describes the proposed multi-ratio pre-gain stage as well as the detailed analysis on the BDWA technique. A detailed error analysis is presented in Section IV. The circuit level implementation is illustrated in Section V. Measurement results are shown in Section VI. Section VII ends with the conclusions.

II. OPERATING PRINCIPLE

Due to its well-defined temperature behavior, BJT shows high temperature accuracy and is generally utilized in CMOS temperature sensor designs. For a BJT, the base-emitter junction voltage (V_{BE}) and the collector current is defined as

$$\mathbf{V}_{BE} = \frac{k\mathbf{T}}{q} \ln\left(\frac{I_C}{I_S}\right) \tag{1}$$

where k is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature in K, q is the electron charge, and I_C and I_S are the collector current and saturation current, respectively. The temperature characteristic of V_{BE} is mainly defined by I_S , which shows a temperature dependence defined as

$$I_s = ABT\bar{u}_p(T)n_i^2(T) \tag{2}$$

where *B* is a constant related to the process and *A* is the emitter area. The effective hole mobility \bar{u}_p and the intrinsic carrier concentration n_i exhibit the following temperature characteristics

$$\bar{u}_p(T) \propto T^{-n} \tag{3}$$

$$n_i^2 \propto T^3 \exp(\frac{-q V_g(T)}{kT}) \tag{4}$$

where V_g is the bandgap voltage of silicon, with

$$V_g(T) = V_{g0} - \beta T \tag{5}$$

where V_{g0} (approximately 1.2V) is the extrapolated bandgap voltage at 0 K and β is a proportional constant. By substituting (2)-(5) into (1), the temperature dependence of V_{BE} can be obtained

$$V_{BE} = V_{g0} + \frac{kT}{q} \ln\left(\frac{I_C}{CT^{4-n}}\right) \tag{6}$$



Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of key voltages in conventional CMOS temperature sensor designs.

where *C* is a constant. It can be observed that V_{BE} shows a complementary-to-absolute temperature (CTAT) characteristic, with a slope of roughly -2 mV/K. High-order curvature is introduced by the term T^{4-n} . By using two identical BJTs biased with a current density ratio of 1 : *p*, a voltage different between the two base-emitter junctions can be obtained, and can be expressed as

$$\Delta V_{BE} = V_{BE2} - V_{BE1} = \frac{kT}{q} ln(p) \tag{7}$$

It can be observed in (7) that ΔV_{BE} is process independent and exhibits a proportional-to-absolute-temperature (PTAT) characteristic. It should be noted that the non-ideality factor of a BJT is very close to 1 when compared with a diode, resulting in a better linearity in ΔV_{BE} to enhance the sensing accuracy [8]. By applying a proportional constant α to ΔV_{BE} , the temperature dependence between ΔV_{BE} and V_{BE} can be cancelled, resulting in a reference voltage which can be expressed as

$$V_{REF} = V_{BE} + \alpha \Delta V_{BE} \tag{8}$$

The temperature dependent voltage ΔV_{BE} and the reference voltage V_{REF} form the basis for CMOS temperature sensing. Fig. 1 shows the temperature dependence of key voltages for a BJT-based temperature sensor.

When $\alpha \Delta V_{BE}$ and V_{BE} are readout using an I-ADC, a ratiometric output (μ) can be obtained as defined by

$$\mu = \frac{\alpha \Delta V_{BE}}{V_{REF}} = \frac{\alpha \Delta V_{BE}}{V_{BE} + \alpha \Delta V_{BE}}$$
(9)

By using a digital filter, μ serves as a representation of the instantaneous temperature

$$D_{out} = A\mu + B \tag{10}$$

where A and B are constants with $A \approx 600$ and $B \approx 273$. As shown in Fig. 2(a), the conventional design suffers from a relatively small sensitivity in ΔV_{BE} , requiring a high resolution ADC to achieve high accuracy (e.g. 16-bit for 0.1 °C in [8]). This results in an inefficient use of the I-ADC input range, especially in applications which require only a small temperature sensing range (e.g. clinical temperature monitoring). This paper exploits the use of multiple pre-gain ratios to achieve high accuracy without significantly increasing the implementation complexity.



Fig. 2. Block diagrams of the (a) conventional and (b) proposed smart temperature sensor with multi-ratio pre-gain stage.

III. PROPOSED STRUCTURE

Fig. 2(b) shows the block diagram of the proposed smart temperature sensor. Instead of using a fixed pre-gain stage as in conventional temperature sensor designs, we propose to integrate a multi-ratio pre-gain stage (k_{1-4}) to amplify the temperature signal while providing an offset to prevent integrator saturation under low voltage operation. This also relaxes the I-ADC requirement as only a moderate resolution is required (e.g. 12-bit for 0.1°C). To achieve the required sensing accuracy, different error sources (i.e. quantization noise, mismatch noise, thermal noise) are designed to result in less than 0.01°C error separately. The output bit-stream *bs* is then fedback for pre-gain update as well as processed by the off-chip digital filter to obtain the ratiometric output μ' .

A. Multi-Ratio Pre-Gain Stage

During temperature signal conversions, ΔV_{be} and V_{be} are amplified using the multi-ratio pre-gain stage according to bs. The gain ratios (including α and k_{1-4}) are implemented by the first stage integrator using capacitors to balance the temperature coefficients of V_{BE} and ΔV_{BE} . Based on charge balancing, the integrator is charged with gain ratios αk_1 , k_2 when bs = 0, and discharged with gain ratios αk_3 , k_4 when bs = 1, respectively. The integrated charge in each case can be expressed by

$$Q_0 = C_{int} \cdot (\alpha k_1 \cdot \Delta V_{BE} - k_2 \cdot V_{BE}) \tag{11}$$

$$Q_1 = C_{int} \cdot (\alpha k_3 \cdot \Delta V_{BE} - k_4 \cdot V_{BE}) \tag{12}$$

where Q_0 and Q_1 are the transferred charge for bs = 0 and bs = 1, respectively, and C_{int} is the integration capacitor. With large enough number of integration cycles N_{total} , the residue charge can be assumed to be much smaller than the total charge and the following equation results

$$(N_{total} - N_1) \cdot Q_0 + N_1 \cdot Q_1 = 0 \tag{13}$$

where N_1 is the number of cycles with bs = 1. By using (11), (12) and (13), a redefined ratiometric output (μ') can be deduced as

$$\mu' = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{k_1 - k_3} \cdot \frac{\alpha \Delta V_{BE}}{V_{REF}} - \frac{k_2}{k_1 - k_3} = G \cdot \mu - C \quad (14)$$

where G is the gain factor and C is the offset. To ensure proper operation, the following constraints on k_{1-4} should be met

$$\begin{cases}
k_1 > k_3 \\
k_4 > k_2 \\
k_1 - k_3 = k_4 - k_2
\end{cases}$$
(15)

It should be noted that as k_{1-4} can be realized with DEM, both *G* and *C* in (14) can achieve high accuracy. An accurate *C* can also effectively ensure low voltage operation without saturating the integrator while avoiding extra trimming steps. With an application specific sensing range from 25 °C to 45 °C, *G* and *C* can be optimized using a system model based on Matlab to scale the conventional μ to μ' . Table I shows the optimized pre-gain values. As illustrated in Fig. 2, our proposed scheme extends the I-ADC input range coverage from less than 4% to more than 60%, which can significantly improve the power-efficiency and simplify the hardware design.

B. Block-Based Data Weighted Averaging (BDWA)

Even though the proposed multi-ratio pre-gain stage can better utilize the input range of the I-ADC, it also imposes

 TABLE I

 PRE-GAIN VALUES AND REQUIRED UNIT CAPACITORS

Gain Stage		Value			
α		18			
k_1		6			
$\overline{k_2}$		9			
k_3		5			
k_4		10			
bitstream (bs)	Unit ca		pacitors		
	ΔV_{BE}		V_{BE}		
0	$108 (\alpha k_1)$		$9(k_2)$		
1	90 (αk_3)		$10(k_4)$		



Fig. 3. Implementation of BDWA control line allocations. N_C , N_R are the number of columns and rows of the unit capacitor array, and B_1 and B_2 are the selection block sizes, respectively.

an increase in the number of capacitors required. For the proposed design, the worst case number of unit capacitors happens during the integration of ΔV_{BE} when bs = 0 (requiring a total number of 117 unit capacitors). Despite the fact that conventional DEM techniques like data weighted averaging (DWA) can achieve an accurate gain value with the presence of capacitor mismatch, the implementation complexity can lead to significant design overhead. For simplicity, we denote the required gain ratios to be K_{1-4} . For any arbitrary gain ratios (K_1, K_2) and (K_3, K_4), a total of $N_{DWA} = \max (K_1 + K_2, K_3 + K_4)$ unit elements with individual control is required when applying the conventional DWA. This can result in potential routing congestion and area inefficiency issues that ultimately limit its use especially when a large gain ratio is required.

To resolve this problem, BDWA is proposed to achieve multi-ratios with high accuracy while significantly reducing the routing cost. Fig. 3 illustrates the control resource allocations for the proposed BDWA, where the key idea is to group a maximum number of unit capacitors into blocks while still providing the flexibility offered by the DWA. With the two ratios (K_1 , K_2) and (K_3 , K_4), first their corresponding greatest common divisor (GCD) is found. The required number of rows N_R is assigned to be the maximum of G_1 and G_2 .



Fig. 4. BDWA implementation using the gain ratios in Table I.

The number of columns N_C can then be selected as the smallest integer which can realize the required gain ratios. As a result, a total number of $N_{BDWA} = N_R \times N_C$ unit elements are required. The BDWA algorithm results in two block control allocations B_1 and B_2 to realize dynamic block-level matching. The following observations can be drawn between DWA and BDWA:

- If *K*₁₋₄ are relatively prime to each other, BDWA and DWA essentially result in the same control allocations.
- $B_1 = T \times B_2$ where T is an integer.
- $N_{BDWA} \ge N_{DWA}$ for all possible integer gain sets.

By using the gain ratios as shown in Table I, we can assign $K_1 = \alpha k_1$, $K_2 = k_2$, $K_3 = \alpha k_3$ and $K_4 = k_4$, respectively. The corresponding values for N_R , N_C , B_1 , B_2 can also be calculated as 10, 12, 9 and 1, respectively. Fig. 4 shows the corresponding BDWA implementation. It can be observed that the number of control lines required is significantly reduced to 24, which is 4.8x less than that required for the conventional DWA.

IV. ERROR ANALYSIS

To achieve the target accuracy in the order of $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C, every error source is limited to a level of 0.01° C. This section describes all major error sources and the corresponding design considerations and analysis for noise minimization.

A. Capacitor Mismatch

As described in section III-B, the increased number of unit capacitors required in BDWA can lead to an increase in averaging cycles. Also, the increased mismatch between B_1 and B_2 can also lead to an inferior noise performance. To better understand the issue, a theoretical analysis of the mismatch error is provided for an arbitrary ratio (K_1, K_2) to compare the performance of the DWA and the proposed BDWA. It is assumed that every capacitor exhibits a mismatch δ_i , where *i* is the index of the unit capacitor within the capacitor array.

For DWA, the corresponding accumulated mismatch error and the total number of cycles are defined as

$$\Delta K_{j,DWA} = \frac{1}{M} \left(M' \sum_{i}^{N_{DWA}} \delta_i + \sum_{i}^{MK_{j,DWA} - M'N_{DWA}} \delta_i \right)$$
(16)

$$M' = \left\lfloor \frac{MK_{j,DWA}}{N_{DWA}} \right\rfloor \tag{17}$$

The first term in (16) represents the global mismatch error where all the elements in the capacitor array are utilized the same number of times, while the second term shows the residue error. The minimum residue error (which is achieved when the second term for both K_1 and K_2 is zero) and the corresponding minimum required number of cycles can be expressed as

$$\Delta K_{j,DWA,min} = \frac{K_{j,DWA}}{N_{DWA}} \sum_{i}^{N_{DWA}} \delta_i \qquad (18)$$
$$M_{min} = max \left(\frac{LCM(K_1, N_{DWA})}{K_1}, \frac{LCM(K_2, N_{DWA})}{K_2}\right) \qquad (19)$$

where LCM(.) is the least common multiple function. As every DEM algorithm will ultimately converge to the minimum residue error with large enough cycles, it will be used for performance comparison between DWA and BDWA.

For BDWA, it is defined first that the fundamental selection block B_f for selecting B_1 and B_2 . As shown in Fig. 3, the possible selections for $B_f = \{N_{C,i}\}$ or $\{B_{1,i}, T \cdot B_{2,i}\}$, i.e. the selection of an entire column or a subset of B_1 and B_2 . Without loss of generality, it is assumed $K_1 \ge K_2$ and B_f should include K_2 unit capacitors in a particular cycle. K_1 can then be realized using integer multiples of B_f . The block mismatch error $\delta_{B_{f,j}}$ in each fundamental block $B_{f,j}$ is expressed as

$$\delta_{B_{f,j}} = \sum_{i}^{B_f} \delta_{j,i} \tag{20}$$

for all the unit elements in block $B_{f,j}$.

In the case of $B_f = \{N_{C,i}\}$, the accumulated mismatch error for N number of cycles is

$$\Delta K_{j,BDWA} = \frac{1}{N} \left(N' \sum_{i}^{N_{BDWA}} \delta_{i} + \sum_{i}^{\frac{NK_{j,BDWA} - N'N_{BDWA}}{N_{R}}} \delta_{B_{f,i}} \right) \quad (21)$$

where

$$N' = \left\lfloor \frac{NK_{j,BDWA}}{N_{BDWA}} \right\rfloor \tag{22}$$

The minimum error and the corresponding minimum required number of cycles are

$$\Delta K_{j,BDWA,min} = \frac{K_{j,BDWA}}{N_{BDWA}} \sum_{i}^{N_{BDWA}} \delta_i \qquad (23)$$

$$N_{min} = N_C \tag{24}$$

Notice that (18) and (23) are similar as N_{BDWA} is an integer multiple of $K_1 + K_2$, except that $N_{min} \ge M_{min}$. As a result, BDWA and DWA should achieve a similar performance.

For $B_f = \{B_{1,i}, T \cdot B_{2,i}\}$, if $B_{1,i}$ is an integer multiple of $T \cdot B_{2,i}$, N_{BDWA} is also an integer multiple of $K_1 + K_2$. This is essentially the same as the case for $B_f = \{N_{C,i}\}$, and (21)-(23) are still applicable. The corresponding minimum number of cycles to achieve the minimum accumulated error is

$$N_{min} = N_C + \frac{N_C \cdot (N_R - B_1)}{B_1}$$
(25)

If $B_{1,i}$ is not an integer multiple of $T \cdot B_{2,i}$, not all the unit elements in the capacitor array can be evenly utilized during the averaging process, resulting in a residue error. The accumulated mismatch in this case is

$$\Delta K_{j,BDWA} = \frac{1}{N} \left(N'' \sum_{i}^{N_{BDWA}} \delta_{i} - N_{B1} \sum_{i}^{N_{B1}} \delta_{B_{f1,i}} - N_{B2} \sum_{i}^{N_{B2}} \delta_{B_{f2,i}} - \sum_{i}^{N_{E}} \delta_{B_{f2,i}} \right)$$
(26)

where

$$N'' = \left\lceil \frac{NK_{j,BDWA}}{N_{BDWA}} \right\rceil \tag{27}$$

$$N_E = N_C \cdot (N_R - B_1) - B_1 \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{N_C \cdot (N_R - B_1)}{B_1} \right\rfloor$$
 (28)

with N_{B1} and N_{B2} denoting the number of B_{f1} and B_{f2} blocks that are not utilized for completely using up all the N_{BDWA} capacitors in the averaging process. The exact value for N_{B1} and N_{B2} depends on how different blocks are selected. However, the minimum accumulated error occurs when $N_{B1} = N_{B2} = 0$, and can be readily expressed as

$$\Delta K_{j,BDWA} = \frac{1}{N} \left(N'' \sum_{i}^{N_{BDWA}} \delta_i - \sum_{i}^{N_E} \delta_{B_{f2,i}} \right) \quad (29)$$

where

$$N_{min} = N_1 \cdot (N_C + 1)$$
(30)

$$LCM (N_C \cdot (N_R - B_1), B_1)$$
(30)

$$N_1 = \frac{L C M (N_C \cdot (N_R - B_1), B_1)}{B_1}$$
(31)

It should be noted that N_E depends on the actual ratios to be realized. However, this error should be negligible for $N_E \ll N_{BDWA}$, which is valid when the required ratio is large. Also, the error associated to each gain should cancel out each other as only a ratio is required. The theoretical accumulated mismatch error defined in (18), (21), (23), (26) and (29) are utilized to estimate the corresponding ratio error using simulation. Fig. 5 shows the simulated performance comparison between DWA and BDWA with K_{1-4} equal to 108, 9, 90 and 10, respectively, assuming a capacitor mismatch of 1% and 4%. The capacitor array size for the DWA is 117 while that for the BDWA is 120 ($N_R = 1.0$ and $N_C = 12$). B_1 and B_2 are set to 9 and 1, respectively. Table II summarizes the performance comparison between the DWA and the proposed BDWA. For BDWA, the error for K_1/K_2 and K_3/K_4 are attenuated to the minimum level after 52 cycles and 12 cycles, respectively. Moreover, the achieved error level for K_3/K_4 is very close to that achieved by DWA. For K_1/K_2 , even though each gain exhibits a residue error as shown in (28), that is canceled to the first order as expected since only a ratio is required, resulting in a similar performance in the DWA. This clearly demonstrates the practicality of BDWA for high gain ratio implementations. It can also be observed in Fig. 5 that the ratio error quickly converges to a level which is well below the required 74 dB to achieve 12-bit resolution even with a mismatch error as large as 4%. Also, the increase in mismatch due to routing parasitic in the BDWA should limit its minimum mismatch error.



Fig. 5. Performance comparison of DWA and BDWA for (a) $K_1/K_2 = 108/9$; and (b) $K_3/K_4 = 90/10$. The black and blue bold lines illustrate the convergence trend with a capacitor mismatch of 1% and 4%, respectively.

TABLE II Performance Comparison Between DWA and BDWA

	DV	VA	BDWA		
Ratio	108/9	108/9 90/10 108		90/10	
Total number of unit cap.	117		120		
Control lines	117		24		
Min. cycles	13	117	52	12	
Min. ratio error (dB)	-119.3	-119.0	-118.8	-119.9	

B. Quantization and Finite Gain Error

To achieve the target accuracy, the quantization level is set to 0.01°C. The proposed multi-ratio pre-gain stage achieves this level of accuracy with only a 12-bit ADC (instead of 16-bit in the conventional design) which can significantly reduce the ADC design complexity.

For the integrator, the Opamp finite DC gain can result in integrator leakage which can cause the modulator to generate a periodic sequence of *bs* with a range of input called the "dead zone". The effect-number-of-bit (ENOB) of the ADC is determined by the width of the largest dead zone, and the width of dead zone ($\Delta \mu$) can be expressed by [10]

$$\Delta \mu = \frac{1-p}{1+p} \approx \frac{a}{2A_0} \tag{32}$$

where *a* is the close-loop gain, A_0 is the Opamp DC gain and $p = 1 - a/A_0$ is the leakage gain. $\Delta \mu$ should be smaller than 0.5LSB to achieve the resolution requirement. Based on simulation results, this effect becomes insignificant when the Opamp DC gain is larger than 77 dB. This significantly relaxed DC gain requirement allows the utilization of a simple integrator implementation based on a two-stage amplifier with miller compensation, improving the power efficiency.

C. Settling Time and Thermal Noise

In ultra-low power applications, the settling condition of the sample-and-hold circuit is dominated by the frontend bias current I_{bias} for charging the sampling capacitor. If a half-clock sampling period is available for settling, the error due to incomplete settling can be evaluated as [11]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} C_{s,i} \le \frac{1}{2\frac{kT}{q}} f_{clk} \left[-\frac{I_b}{ln(\varepsilon)} \right]$$
(33)

where C_s is the unit capacitor, M is the maximum number of sampling capacitors (the sampling capacitor number varies alternately according to bs = 0 or 1), f_{clk} is the sampling clock and ε is the relative inaccuracy. In this work, $I_b = 25nA$ and $f_{clk} = 8kHz$. As a result, the corresponding maximum sampling capacitance should be roughly 8pF. When compared with the thermal noise $n^2 = 4kT/(N \cdot C_s)$ (N is the number of cycles in one temperature conversion), the estimated minimum unit capacitance is 0.8fF, which implies that the thermal noise is relatively insignificant in this design.

V. CIRCUIT IMPLEMENTATION

Fig. 6 shows the simplified schematic of the complete temperature sensor implementation. It is composed of an analog frontend followed by a first-order I-ADC. The analog frontend consists of a bias current generator and a bipolar core. The bias current Ibias is PTAT to enhance the linearity of V_{BE} and V_{REF} , improving the sensing accuracy [5]. In order to achieve ultra-low power consumption while fulfilling the sensing accuracy and readout speed requirements, the values for I_{bias} , I_b and R are designed to be 8.3 nA, 25 nA and 6.5 M Ω , respectively. The Opamp is adaptive self-biased [12] and draws only 85 nA at 37°C from a 1V supply. Two V_{BE} signals (V_{BE1} and V_{BE2}) are derived using 6 identical current branches from the bias current generator with DEM to minimize the mismatch error, with $I_b = 25nA$ for complete V_{BE} settling using a sampling clock f_{clk} of 8 kHz. To enhance the output impedance and mirror the current to the branches more accurately, cascading has been used. As the targeted temperature sensing range is from 25 °C to 45 °C, the corresponding V_{BE} is expected to be close to 620 to 500 mV, respectively. As a result, a supply



Fig. 6. The proposed smart temperature sensor simplified architecture.



Fig. 7. Simplified schematic of the (a) operational amplifier (integrator) and (b) latched comparator.

voltage of 1 V for the analog blocks would suffice for proper circuit operations. The proposed multi-ratio pre-gain stage is implemented using a fully differential integrator, and a unit capacitor array of 120 elements, with $C_{unit} = 28 f F$ and $C_{int} = 40C_{unit}$. The capacitor blocks $C_{B1,i}$ and $C_{B2,i}$ are designed according to the proposed BDWA algorithm described in section III-B. By using the proposed multiratio pre-gain stage and BDWA technique, the specification of the I-ADC can be greatly relaxed. Fig. 7(a) shows the implemented miller-compensated two-stage amplifier with bias voltages generated using Ibias (common-mode feedback not shown). The corresponding GBW, DC gain and phase margin are 240 kHz, 84 dB and 74°, respectively, while drawing only 520 nA at 37 °C. A latched comparator for determining the polarity of the quantization error is shown in Fig. 7(b). A pre-amplifier is utilized to reduce the kickback noise and achieve the required temperature resolution. The mismatch



Fig. 8. Timing diagram of one typical charging/discharging cycle.

of the sampling capacitors is averaged by BDWA, while the remaining offsets from the Opamp and comparator are minimized using system level chopping. Various noise sources are minimized to achieve the target accuracy according to section IV. Simulation results show that the analog frontend, I-ADC and the control logic consume 0.27 μ W, 0.57 μ W and 0.25 μ W, respectively.

Fig. 8 shows the waveform of the half-circuit conversion process. In ϕ_1 , V_{BE2} is sampled from terminal V_{in1-} while V_{in2-} is connected to ground. In ϕ_2 , V_{BE1} is sampled from terminal V_{in1-} and terminal V_{in2-} is utilized to sample V_{BE2} . The stored V_{BE2} and ΔV_{BE} are then amplified using the multi-ratio pre-gain stage, and a charge package proportional to $(\alpha k_1 \Delta V_{BE} - k_2 V_{BE})$ is integrated in the charging phase.

TABLE III Performance Comparison With State of the Art

Ref.	Temp. range (°C)	Inaccuracy (°C)	Resolution (°C)	Power (µW)	Samp. Rate (Sa/s)	Chip Samples	Calibration	Process (µm)
[2]	35 to 45	± 0.1	< 0.035	0.11	10	3	2-point	0.35
[3]	-10 to 30	+1/-0.8	N/A	0.12	33	9	2-point	0.18
[13]	27 to 47	± 1	1	0.9	N/A	N/A	2-point	0.18
[14]	0 to 100	+1/-0.8	0.3	0.405	1k	4	2-point	0.18
[15]	-55 to 125	$\pm 0.1^*$	0.025	62.5	10	20	1-point	0.7
This work	25 to 45	$\pm 0.2^{*}$	0.01	1.1	2	20	1-point	0.18

* 3σ values from multiple chip results.



Fig. 9. Chip micrograph of the proposed smart sensor.

Similarly, $(k_4 V_{BE} - \alpha k_3 \Delta V_{BE})$ is integrated in the discharging phase. The output of the integrator is then fed to the input of the comparator for *bs* evaluation. The output *bs* is then processed to obtain the instantaneous temperature. One-point digital calibration is exploited to tackle the variation V_{BE} due to BJT process spread.

VI. MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This proposed smart temperature sensor is implemented in a standard 0.18μ m CMOS process, occupying an active area of 0.198 mm². Fig. 9 shows the die microphotograph. With the analog and digital supply set to 1V and 1.8V respectively, the sensor dissipates a measured power of 1.1μ W (0.9 μ W from 1 V supply and 0.2μ W from 1.8 V supply) at 37 °C with a conversion rate of 2 Sa/s.

Fig. 10 shows the measurement setup. The device under test (DUT) is characterized using a thermal chamber (SU-261, ESPEC). During measurement, the DUT is placed next to a reference sensor (platinum Pt-100 resistor) inside a metal box which serves as a thermal low-pass filter. The Agilent Modular Logic Analyzer System 16902B is employed for input pattern generation as well as to monitor and analyze the temperature data, which is directly compared with the reading from the thermal meter (Tempmaster PRO) the processor outputs. Both the reference sensor and thermal meter are calibrated to achieve a ± 0.05 °C accuracy. Power consumption is measured using Agilent 3458A. The sensor is calibrated at 37 °C with the sensing error estimated using a linear master curve.



Fig. 10. Illustrative diagram of the measurement setup.



Fig. 11. Measured inaccuracy from 20 chips samples after one-point calibration at 37 °C. Bold dashed lines indicate the $\pm 3\sigma$ values, while blue solid lines show the accuracy requirement from [1].

Fig. 11 shows the measured temperature error from 25 °C to 45 °C using 20 sample chips after one-point calibration at 37 °C. It can be observed that an inaccuracy of ± 0.1 °C(3 σ) is obtained from 37 °C to 39 °C (± 0.2 °C from 25 °C to 45 °C). The maximum error tolerance for human body temperature monitoring [1] is also indicated (blue bold line). It can be concluded that the temperature sensor achieves an accuracy which is well within the specification, making it suitable for human body temperature monitoring applications. Table III shows the performance comparison of our proposed temperature sensor with the state of the art. The proposed work achieves high accuracy using only one-point calibration. The application specific sampling rate is designed to not only reduce the sensor power, but also relax the back-end processing and wireless power. When compared with [2]

which requires two-point calibration for each of the 3 measured samples, the proposed temperature sensor achieves high sensing accuracy (3σ) from 20 measured chip samples while using only one-point calibration.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented an ultra-low power, high accuracy CMOS smart temperature sensor based on substrate BJT and a first-order I-ADC with a multi-ratio pre-gain stage and BDWA to relax the ADC resolution requirement and the routing complexity. An inaccuracy of $\pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C (3σ) is achieved after one-point calibration at normal human body temperature while the power consumption is only 1.1μ W, which is suitable for passive temperature sensing systems of clinical temperature monitoring applications.

REFERENCES

- [1] Standard Specification for Electronic Thermometer for Intermittent Determination of Patient Temperature, Standard ASTM E1112, 2011.
- [2] A. Vaz et al., "Full passive UHF tag with a temperature sensor suitable for human body temperature monitoring," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II, Exp. Briefs*, vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 95–99, Feb. 2010.
- [3] M. K. Law, A. Bermak, and H. C. Luong, "A sub-µW embedded CMOS temperature sensor for RFID food monitoring application," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 1246–1255, Jun. 2010.
- [4] Y. S. Lin, D. Blaauw, and D. Sylvester, "An ultra low power 1 V, 220 nW temperature sensor for passive wireless applications," in *Proc. IEEE Custom Integr. Circuits Conf.*, Sep. 2008, pp. 507–510.
- [5] M. A. P. Pertijs, K. A. A. Makinwa, and J. H. Huijsing, "A CMOS smart temperature sensor with a 3σ inaccuracy of ± 0.1 °C (3σ) from -55 °C to 125 °C," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 2805–2815, Dec. 2005.
- [6] K. A. A. Makinwa, "Smart temperature sensors in standard CMOS," *Procedia Eng.*, vol. 5, pp. 930–939, Sep. 2010.
- [7] K. Souri, Y. Chae, and K. A. A. Makinwa, "A CMOS temperature sensor with a voltage-calibrated inaccuracy of ±0.15 °C (3σ) from -55 °C to 125 °C," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 292–301, Jan. 2013.
- [8] M. A. P. Pertijs and J. H. Huijsing, *Precision Temperature Sensors in CMOS Technology*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer, 2006.
- [9] M. A. P. Pertijs, A. Niederkorn, X. Ma, B. McKillop, A. Bakker, and J. H. Huijsing, "A CMOS smart temperature sensor with a 3σ inaccuracy of ±0.5 °C from -50 °C to 120 °C," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 454–461, Feb. 2005.
- [10] O. Feely and L. O. Chua, "The effect of integrator leak in Σ-Δ modulation," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 1293–1305, Nov. 1991.
- [11] A. L. Aita and K. A. A. Makinwa, "Low-power operation of a precision CMOS temperature sensor based on substrate PNPs," in *Proc. IEEE Sensors*, Oct. 2007, pp. 856–859.
- [12] K. Souri and K. A. A. Makinwa, "A 0.12 mm² 7.4 μ W micropower temperature sensor with an inaccuracy of ±0.2 °C (3 σ) from -30 °C to 125 °C," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 46, no. 7, pp. 1693–1700, Jul. 2011.
- [13] Z. Shenghua and W. Nanjian, "A novel ultra low power temperature sensor for UHF RFID tag chip," in *Proc. IEEE Asian Solid-State Circuits Conf.*, Nov. 2007, pp. 464–467.
- [14] M. K. Law and A. Bermak, "A 405-nW CMOS temperature sensor based on linear MOS operation," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II, Exp. Briefs*, vol. 56, no. 12, pp. 891–895, Dec. 2009.
- [15] A. L. Aita, M. A. Pertijs, K. A. A. Makinwa, J. H. Huijsing, and G. C. M. Meijer, "Low-power CMOS smart temperature sensor with a batch-calibrated inaccuracy of ± 0.25 °C ($\pm 3\sigma$) from -70 °C to 130 °C," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 1840–1848, May 2013.



Man-Kay Law (M'11) received the B.Sc. degree in computer engineering and the Ph.D. degree in electronic and computer engineering from the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (HKUST), in 2006 and 2011, respectively. Since 2011, he has been with HKUST as a Visiting Assistant Professor. He is currently an Assistant Professor with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau, Macau.

He developed an ultralow power fully integrated CMOS temperature sensing passive UHF RFID tag together with the Zhejiang Advanced Manufacturing Institute and HKUST. He has authored and co-authored over 50 technical journals and conference papers and holds three U.S. patents. His research interests are on the development of ultralow power sensing circuits and integrated energy-harvesting techniques for wireless and biomedical applications.

Dr. Law was a member of the Technical Program Committee of the Asia Symposium on Quality Electronic Design from 2012 to 2013, a Review Committee Member of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems from 2012 to 2015, the Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference from 2012 to 2015, and the International Symposium on Integrated Circuits in 2014. He is the University Design Contest Co-Chair of the Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference 2016. He is a member of the IEEE CAS Committee on Sensory Systems and Biomedical Circuits and Systems.



Sanfeng Lu was born in Wuhan, China, in 1990. He received the bachelor's degree in science from Xidian University, Xi'an, China, in 2013. He is currently pursuing the M.S. degree with the University of Macau, Macau, China.

His research interests include ultralow power high accuracy smart temperature sensors design.



Tao Wu was born in Guangzhou, China, in 1988. He received the bachelor's degree and the M.Sc. degree in electrical and electronic engineering from the University of Macau, Macau, China, in 2011 and 2013, respectively.

He has been an Application Engineer with Huada Empyrean Software Company, Ltd., since 2014, where he is involved in EDA design and technical support of analog IC and flat-panel design.



Amine Bermak (M'99–SM'04–F'13) received the M.Eng. and Ph.D. degrees in electronic engineering from Paul Sabatier University, Toulouse, France, in 1994 and 1998, respectively. He has published extensively on the above topics in various journals, book chapters and refereed international conferences. His research interests are related to VLSI circuits and systems for signal, image processing, sensors, and microsystems applications. He was a member of technical program committees of a number of international conferences, including the IEEE

Custom Integrated Circuit Conference (CICC) in 2006 and CICC'2007, and the Design Automation and Test in Europe (DATE) in 2007 and DATE'2008. He received many distinguished awards including the 2004 IEEE Chester Sall Award, the 2011 Michael G. Gale Medal, and the Best Paper Award at the 2005 International Workshop on System-on-Chip for Real-Time Applications. He was the General Co-Chair of the IEEE International Symposium on Electronic Design Test and Applications, Hong Kong, in 2008, and the General Co-Chair of the IEEE Conference on Biomedical Circuits and Systems, Beijing, in 2009. He is also on the Editorial Board of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ELECTRON DEVICES and the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON BIOMEDICAL CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS.



Pui-In Mak (S'00–M'08–SM'11) received the Ph.D. degree from the University of Macau (UM), Macau, China, in 2006. He is currently an Associate Professor with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, UM, and an Associate Director (Research) with the State Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, UM. His research interests include analog and radiofrequency circuits and systems for wireless, biomedical, and physical chemistry applications.

His group contributed seven state-of-the-art chips at ISSCC: wideband receivers (2011, 2014, 2015), micropower amplifiers (2012, 2014), and ultralow power receivers (2013, 2014). The team also pioneered the world's first *Intelligent Digital Microfluidic Technology* with micronuclear magnetic resonance and polymerase chain reaction capabilities. He has co-authored three books entitled *Analog-Baseband Architectures and Circuits for Multistandard and Low-Voltage Wireless Transceivers* (Springer, 2007), *High-/Mixed-Voltage Analog and RF Circuit Techniques for Nanoscale CMOS* (Springer, 2012), and *Ultra-Low-Power and Ultra-Low-Cost Short-Range Wireless Receivers in Nanoscale CMOS* (Springer, 2015).

His involvements with IEEE are: an Editorial Board Member of the IEEE Press (2014–2016), an IEEE Distinguished Lecturer (2014–2015), a member of Board-of-Governors of the IEEE Circuits and Systems Society (2009–2011), a Senior Editor of the IEEE JOURNAL ON EMERGING AND SELECTED TOPICS IN CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS (2014–2015), a Guest Editor of the IEEE RFIC VIRTUAL JOURNAL (2014), an Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS I (2010–2011, 2014–2015), and the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS II (2010–2013). He is the TPC Vice Co-Chair of ASP-DAC'16.

Prof. Mak (co)-received the DAC/ISSCC Student Paper Award in 2005, the CASS Outstanding Young Author Award in 2010, the SSCS Pre-Doctoral Achievement Awards in 2014 and 2015, and the National Scientific and Technological Progress Award in 2011, and the Best Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CIRCUITS AND SYSTEMS II from 2012 to 2013. In 2005, he was decorated with the Honorary Title of value for scientific merits by the Macau Government.



Rui P. Martins (M'88–SM'99–F'08) was born in 1957. He received the bachelor's, master's, and Ph.D. degrees, and the Habilitation for Full-Professor degrees in electrical engineering and computers from the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Instituto Superior Técnico (IST), Technical University (TU) of Lisbon, Portugal, in 1980, 1985, 1992, and 2001, respectively. He has been with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, IST, TU of Lisbon, since 1980.

He has been on leave from IST, University of Lisbon, since 1992, and is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Macau (UM), Macau, China, where he has been a Chair-Professor since 2013. He was the Dean of the Faculty of Science and Technology at UM from 1994 to 1997, and has been the Vice Rector of the University of Macau since 1997. From 2008, after the reform of the UM Charter, he was nominated after open international recruitment, and reappointed (in 2013), as Vice Rector (Research) until 2018. Within the scope of his teaching and research activities, he has taught 21 bachelor's and master's courses and has supervised (or co-supervised) 38 theses, 17 Ph.D. theses, and 21 master's theses. He has co-authored six books and five book chapters; 16 patents, USA (14) and Taiwan (2); 320 papers in scientific journals (82), and in conference proceedings (238); and other 61 academic works, in a total of 408 publications. He was a Co-Founder of Synopsys (Macau) in 2001 and 2002, and created the Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI Research Laboratory, UM, in 2003, elevated to the State Key Laboratory of China in 2011 (the 1st in Engineering in Macau), as the Founding Director.

Prof. Martins was the Founding Chairman of the IEEE Macau Section (2003-2005) and the IEEE Macau Joint-Chapter on Circuits and Systems (CAS)/Communications (2005-2008) [2009 World Chapter of the Year of IEEE CASS]. He was the General Chair of the 2008 IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference (APC) on CAS-APCCAS'2008, and was the Vice President for the Region 10 (Asia, Australia, and the Pacific) of the IEEE CAS Society (2009-2011). Since then, he was the Vice President (World) Regional Activities and Membership of the IEEE CAS Society (2012-2013), and an Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CAS II: EXPRESS BRIEFS (2010-2013), nominated Best Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CAS II from 2012 to 2013. In addition, he was a member of the IEEE CASS Fellow Evaluation Committee (2013 and 2014), and the CAS Society representative in the Nominating Committee, for the election in 2014, of the Division I (CASS/EDS/SSCS)-Director of the IEEE. He is now the General Chair of the ACM/IEEE Asia South Pacific Design Automation Conference in 2016. He was a recipient of two government decorations: the Medal of Professional Merit from the Macau Government (Portuguese Administration) in 1999 and the Honorary Title of Value from the Macau Government (Chinese Administration) in 2001. In 2010, he was elected, unanimously, as a Corresponding Member of the Portuguese Academy of Sciences (in Lisbon), being the only Portuguese Academician living in Asia.