## Ultra-area-efficient three-stage amplifier using current buffer Miller compensation and parallel compensation

## Z. Yan, P.-I. Mak, M.-K. Law and R.P. Martins

An ultra-compact three-stage amplifier is proposed by merging current buffer Miller compensation with parallel compensation, which achieves significant improvement in area efficiency without sacrificing the gain-bandwidth product (GBW) and power. Fabricated in 0.35  $\mu$ m CMOS the amplifier measures 4.98 MHz GBW at 150 pF load while drawing 20  $\mu$ A at 2 V. The entailed compensation capacitance is minimised to 1.5 pF and the chip size is merely 0.012 mm<sup>2</sup>.

Introduction: Multi-stage amplifiers capable of driving over 100 pF capacitive load ( $C_L$ ) have found productive applications in capacitorless low-dropout regulators [1], and more recently in audio power amplifiers and headphone drivers. All these impetuses continuously motivate research efforts on multi-stage amplifiers for better  $C_L$  drivability, gain-bandwidth product (GBW), and power and area efficiencies. In this Letter, a power- and area-efficient three-stage amplifier topology is proposed. The combination of current buffer Miller compensation and parallel compensation enables high-frequency pole-zero cancellation, allowing the use of ultra-small compensation capacitance and resistance without penalising the amplifier's GBW and power. Experimental verification in 0.35  $\mu$ m CMOS shows that the measured performance is highly competitive with the state-of-the-art.



Fig. 1 Block diagram of proposed three-stage amplifier

Block-level design: The block diagram is depicted in Fig. 1. The three gain stages are labelled as  $G_{m1}$ ,  $G_{m2}$ , and  $G_{mL}$ . The output conductance and lumped parasitic node capacitance of each stage are denoted by  $g_{o1-2, L}$  and  $C_{p1-3}$ , respectively.  $C_{p3}$  is grouped into  $C_L \cdot C_m$  and  $G_{ma}$  form the current buffer Miller compensation while  $R_m$  models the input resistance of  $G_{ma}$ . Current buffer Miller compensation is selected because it has vital advantages over the simple Miller compensation such as smaller compensation capacitance, higher  $C_L$  drivability, larger GBW and better PSRR [2]. The parallel compensation  $R_z C_z$  network is to realise local pole splitting and a useful left-half-plane (LHP) zero for polezero cancellation [3]. It is well-positioned at the output of the first gain stage, rather than the second one, because the pole  $\omega_{p1} = g_{o1}/C_{p1}$  should be typically lower than  $\omega_{p2} = g_{o2}/C_{p2}$ . The feedforward stage  $G_{mf}$  is to boost the large-signal performance. Following the assumptions below:

$$\frac{G_{m1}}{g_{o1}}, \frac{G_{m2}}{g_{o2}}, \frac{G_{mL}}{g_{oL}}, \frac{G_{ma}}{g_{o1}} >> 1 \qquad g_{o1}R_{o1} << 1, R_m = \frac{1}{G_{ma}} \\
C_m >> C_{p1}, C_Z >> C_{p1}; C_m, C_Z << C_L$$
(1)

the transfer function of the proposed three-stage amplifier can be given by,

$$A_V(s) \simeq$$

$$\frac{A_{0}(1+sR_{Z}C_{Z})\left(1+s\frac{C_{m}}{G_{ma}}\right)\left(1+s\frac{G_{mf}C_{p2}}{G_{m2}G_{mL}}\right)}{\left(1+s\frac{s}{\omega_{-3dB}}\right)\left(1+s\frac{g_{o2}}{C_{p2}}\right)\left(1+s\frac{g_{o2}C_{Z}C_{L}}{G_{m2}G_{mL}C_{m}}+s^{2}\frac{g_{o2}C_{Z}C_{L}}{G_{m2}G_{mL}G_{ma}}\right)}$$
(2)

where  $A_0 = (G_{m1}G_{m2}G_{mL})/(g_{o1}g_{o2}g_{oL})$  is the DC voltage gain,  $\omega_{-3dB} = (g_{o1}g_{o2}g_{oL})/(G_{m2}G_{mL}C_m)$  is the dominant pole. The zero  $\omega_{z1} = 1/R_z C_z$  generated by the parallel compensation network can be utilised to cancel the pole  $\omega_{p2} = g_{o2}/C_{p2}$  associated with the second stage. Since another LHP zero  $\omega_{z2} = G_{mf}C_{p2}/G_{m2}G_{mL}$  and pole  $\omega_{p3} = 1/R_z C_{p1}$  lie at very high frequencies, their impact on the amplifier's frequency response can be safely ignored. Therefore, (2) can be simplified as

$$A_V(s) \simeq A_0 \frac{\left(1 + s \frac{C_m}{G_{ma}}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{s}{\omega_{-3dB}}\right) \left(1 + s \frac{C_{p2}C_L}{C_m G_{m2} R_Z G_{mL}} + s^2 \frac{C_{p2}C_L}{G_{m2} R_Z G_{mL} G_{ma}}\right)}$$
(3)

From (3), GBW is calculated as  $A_0 \cdot \omega_{-3dB} = G_{m1}/C_m$ , and the LHP zero associated with the current buffer stage  $G_{ma}$  is  $\omega_{z3} = G_{ma}/C_m$ . Consider the second-order polynomial in the denominator of  $A_v(s)$ . If the two poles are widely separated, which means  $G_{ma}/C_m$  is pushed to much higher frequencies, the amplifier's GBW is only limited by the non-dominant pole  $C_m G_{m2} R_z G_{mL}/(C_{p2} C_L)$ , which is  $C_m/C_{p2}$  times larger than that of the structure proposed in [4]. This factor can be beneficially exchanged for a smaller value of  $R_z$ , simultaneously maintaining the given GBW and phase margin (PM). Furthermore, instead of stabilising the amplifier by under the Butterworth response, the low-power stability strategy in [5] is employed to achieve 60° PM while using a smaller  $G_{ma}$  (i.e. smaller power) and  $C_m$  (i.e. smaller area). The key dimension conditions are

$$G_{ma} = 2G_{m1}$$
 and  $C_m = \sqrt{\frac{1.16G_{m1}C_{p2}C_L}{G_{m2}R_ZG_{mL}}}$  (4)

Circuit implementation: The transistor implementation is depicted in Fig. 2. The input stage  $G_{m1}$  is realised with a folded cascode transconductor formed by  $M_1$ - $M_{10}$ ,  $M_{b1-b2}$ , and  $R_{1-2}$ . Transistors  $M_{11}$  and  $M_{13}$ - $M_{21}$  contribute to the amplifier's second stage  $G_{m2}$ . The output stage  $G_{\rm mL}$  is provided by  $M_{25}$ , while  $M_{24}$  acts as the forward stage  $G_{\rm mf}$ . The gate of  $M_{23}$  connected to the gates of  $M_9$  and  $M_{10}$  not only produces another feedforward stage to boost the slewing performance of the second stage, but also avoids extra biasing branches and systematic offset of the amplifier [5].  $G_{\text{ma}}$  is realised by  $M_3$ - $M_8$  and  $R_{1-2}$ , the transconductance of which can be efficiently boosted by its local feedback loop with small power budget [6]. The parallel compensation  $R_z C_z$ network is terminated to the power supply, rather than the ground, to improve the positive PSRR (i.e. from simulations, >30 dB improvement in the range 10 kHz to 10 MHz). The bias current in  $M_{17}$  is reused by cascading two current mirrors with amplification factors ( $M_{13}$ - $M_{14}$  and  $M_{16}$ - $M_{17}$ ) in the signal path to increase  $G_{m2}$  and the factor  $G_{m2}R_z$  so that a small  $G_{mL}$  is feasible.



Fig. 2 Circuit implementation of three-stage amplifier





*Experimental results:* The amplifier was fabricated in 0.35  $\mu$ m CMOS. The die area is just 0.012 mm<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 3), which is 40% of that of the amplifier from [4] using the same technology node, mainly because the entailed resistance (including  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ ) is reduced to 389 k $\Omega$  while the resistance for parallel compensation in [4] is 750 k $\Omega$ . The AC responses are shown in Fig. 4*a*. At 150 pF  $C_L$  the amplifier shows a GBW of 4.98 MHz with 50° PM and 11.3 dB gain margin (GM). The step response is shown in Fig. 4*b*. The average slew rate and 1% settling time are 1.64V/ $\mu$ s and 1.97  $\mu$ s, respectively.



**Fig. 4** *Measured performance at 150pF C<sub>L</sub> a* AC responses *b* Transient response

A summary of the performance metrics and comparison with two recent works are given in Table 1. This work is advantageous for its smaller area, higher GBW at low power, and overall competitive figures-of-merit (FOMs) compared with [4] and [6].

Table 1: Performance summary and comparison

	This work	ISSCC'12 [6]	JSSC'11 [4]
Load C <sub>L</sub> (pF)	150	1000	150
GBW (MHz)	4.98	1.37	4.4
Phase margin (°)	50	83.2	57
Gain margin (dB)	11.3	9.8	5*
Average SR (V/µS)	1.64	0.59	1.8
Average 1% TS (µS)	1.97	1.28	1.9
DC gain (dB)	>110	>100	110
Power (µW) at VDD (V)	40 at 2	144 at 2	30 at 1.5
Total C <sub>t</sub> (pF)	1.5	2.6	1.6
Chip area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	0.012	0.016	0.02
CMOS technology	0.35 µm	0.35 µm	0.35 µm
FOMS [(MHz · pF)/mW]	18675	9514	22000
FOML [ $(V/\mu S \cdot pF)/mW$ ]	6150	4097	9000
IFOMS [(MHz · pF)/mA]	37350	19028	33000
IFOML [(V/µS · pF)/mA]	12300	8194	13500

\* Denotes extracted value from plot

*Conclusion:* A novel three-stage amplifier topology has been proposed. Current buffer Miller compensation and a well-positioned *RC* network for parallel compensation effectively shift up the pole-zero cancellation to a high frequency, resulting in very low power (40  $\mu$ W) and small area (0.012 mm<sup>2</sup>) in silicon realisation. The measured GBW at 150 pF load is 4.98 MHz.

© The Institution of Engineering and Technology 2012

2 March 2012

doi: 10.1049/el.2012.0711

One or more of the Figures in this Letter are available in colour online.

Z. Yan, P.-I. Mak, M.-K. Law and R.P. Martins (*State-Key Laboratory of Analog and Mixed-Signal VLSI, University of Macau, Macao, People's Republic of China*)

E-mail: pimak@umac.mo

R.P. Martins: Also with Instituto Superior Técnico (IST)/TU of Lisbon, Portugal

## References

- Lau, S.K., Mok, P.K., and Leung, K.N.: 'A low-dropout regulator for SoC with Q-reduction', *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, 2007, 42, (3), pp. 658–664
- Ahuja, B.K.: 'An improved frequency compensation technique for CMOS operational amplifier', *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, 1983, 18, (6), pp. 629–633
- 3 Eschauzier, R.G.H., and Huijsing, J.H.: 'Frequency compensation techniques for low-power operational amplifiers' (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1995)
- 4 Peng, X., Sansen, W., Hou, L., Wang, J., and Wu, W.: 'Impedance adapting compensation for low-power multistage amplifiers', *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, 2011, 46, (2), pp. 445–451
- 5 Lee, H., and Mok, P.K.T.: 'Advances in active-feedback frequency compensation with power optimization and transient improvement', *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I*, 2004, **51**, (9), pp. 1690–1696
- 6 Yan, Z., Mak, P.-I., Law, M.-K., and Martins, R.P.: 'A 0.016 mm<sup>2</sup> 144 μW three-stage amplifier capable of driving 1-to-15 nF capacitive load with >0.95 MHz GBW'. Int. Solid-State Circuits Conf., (ISSCC'12), San Francisco, CA, USA, 2012, Vol. 55, pp. 368–369